#### CHAPTER FOUR

### 4. RELEVANCE OF THE ELEMENTAL AND THE FUNDAMENTAL

From what was touched on in the previous chapter about the elemental and the fundamental, it is now possible to indicate briefly what relevance this theory has for the part-disciplines of pedagogics such as, e.g.:

- 1. pedagogics in general;
- 2. didactic pedagogics;
- 3. psychopedagogics;
- 4. historical pedagogics;
- 5. sociopedagogics;
- 6. subject didactics.

Obviously, this requires a comprehensive study in order to illuminate the area of each of the part-disciplines of pedagogics by using the concept elemental-fundamental as a category, and to phenomenologically uncover, elucidate and express what is disclosed from this perspective. What follows, however, is only a cursory indication of the possibilities that the theory of the elemental and the fundamental holds for the part-disciplines of pedagogics.

# 4.1 Relevance of the elemental and the fundamental for pedagogics in general

Pedagogics is the science of educating. A child, says pedagogics, needs an adult to guide him on his way to becoming an adult. The adult's being demands giving help to the not-yet adult. This help consists in presenting contents in a certain form. Help without contents and form is not even thinkable. When one views the form and contents of help phenomenologically, the elemental and the fundamental speak to him.

Where do these contents come from? The answer given by pedagogics is that they are nothing more than lifeworld contents. Are such contents diffuse and inaccessible to a person? Kant says no, the lifeworld is the terrain of the phenomenon (and not of the noumenon) (15, p 206). However, reality is expansive and for a person (respectively, a child) it can appear diffuse if it is not

categorically unlocked for him. But once it is unlocked, a person has a cognitive grasp and affective lived-experience of this reality. Then, says Kant, a person (he says reason) views all cognitive insights as part of a possible system.

The aim of educating a child is to bring him to adulthood and a meaningful existence. However, a person does not first experience a meaningful existence, but rather it originates in his becoming adult.

Landman shows that the educative situation has three structures, namely, a relationship, a sequence and an aim structure. These structures are clearly seen in the theory of the elemental and the fundamental.

### 4.1.1 The relationship structure

The adult in the pedagogic situation cannot unlock the elemental as accessible contents if this relationship structure is not actualized. He has to understand a child to know on what level he needs to meaningfully unlock the elemental. The relationship of understanding will co-determine if a child is or is not going to open himself to the unlocked categorical aspect of life as the elemental. This openness of a child to the contents is a precondition for his fundamental forming. A child trusts the adult and trusts that he will find the elemental in the learning contents that will allow him to experience it as a fundamental and progress [to adulthood].

## 4.1.2 The sequence structure

Not so much in association and encounter as in engagement do the elemental and the fundamental have immanent relevance. If it is true that only contents that are "palatable" for a child can contribute to his forming and taking a perspective, then engagement cannot be actualized unless the elemental, as content, is brought within the horizon of his understanding. Engagement progresses in a qualitatively meaningful manner to the degree that the elemental is successfully unlocked or not. Successful means unlocking that not only clarifies the contents but that also addresses, appeals to, makes demands on a child in his life circumstances.

Periodic breaking away refers to a child's own investigation of the structures of life reality and personal view of the lifeworld with

reference to elemental insights that lead to fundamental reflections on life.

Also, in the sequence structure of periodic breaking away, contents are made accessible and presented to a child in such a way that they direct an appeal to him. This is not merely passing contents on or forcing them on a child. His activity should be a personal actualization of the contents (elementals) that have been exposed so that they become fundamentals.

A child should be given the opportunity to periodically functionalize the contents and the unlocked impact of the educative activities. The fundamental has to be made functional otherwise educating cannot prosper; in this sense, essentially educating is also self-educating.

### 4.1.3 The aim structure

Actualizing the aim structure cuts through to actualizing the fundamental. A child who in the unlocking situation does not experience the fundamentals (basic experiences) will not be able to experience the aim structure. On the other hand, viewed positively, a child who has acquired essential basic experiences through meaningful and fruitful unlockings will acquire the fundamental as intellectual equipment that will allow him to actualize the aim structure.

The pedagogic aim structure points to the eventuality of educating when life contents that have spoken to the child from many situations have become functionalized. By acquiring life contents and their fundamental effects, a child can develop a personal lifestyle.

# 4.2 Relevance of the elemental and the fundamental for didactic theory and practice

Flitner asserts that didactics is the interaction between teaching and learning--but also more than this. Dieter Ulrich speaks of teaching as a type of influencing relationship (34, p 132). Again, Van der Stoep refers to didaskein as "to teach".

All of these pronouncements hold true when the theory of the elemental and the fundamental arise. As already mentioned, the

concepts elemental and fundamental are essentially concerned with teaching contents. The elemental refers to contents that have been abstracted from lifeworld contents by the adult and reduced to their essentials. Thus, the elemental really is the adult's portrayal of the essentials of life contents. Acquiring the elemental from life contents, however, is not an aim in itself. The immediate aim is to pass on these contents to children in a teaching activity by means of didactic unlocking. A precondition for presenting intensified life contents in a didactic encounter is that the relationship between the unlocker and the child satisfies the criteria for establishing such a relationship. If the established relationship is right a child will feel disposed to be party to the unlocked contents. By his intentional participation in the unlocking activity a child acquires contents and thus he learns. Also, the teacher's final aim is not only that a child learn. He also has to be given the opportunity to make the acquired insights functional. Thus, there are two clearly distinguishable aspects to a child's participation in the teaching activity. The first aspect is that he gains certain knowledge, insights and understandings--he thus learns. He leaves the situation other than he entered it. He leaves this situation with intellectual equipment not initially at his disposal. In the second place, he has seen which methods can be used to cultivate and explore contents of reality and acquires the methods he has co-experienced as fundamental methods for him to use when he later is involved with lifeworld contents.

The instructional dividends were considered in the discussion of the fundamental in a previous chapter. The fundamental is viewed as a collective term for all that a child has acquired from a didactic unlocking. This acquisition always is fundamental equipment for a child. His forming is not actualized by this: the acquired fundamental should speak to him in his future involvement with the world and reality and also in further situations. A child also enters each future situation as a child who has mastered or partly mastered contents. The teacher has to realize that forming essentially is also self-forming and a child needs to find an opportunity to make functional the acquired fundamental as contents for living.

The formative structure spirals from acquired contents that have been functionalized. This is followed by unlocking and acquiring additional contents and functionalizing the initial and additional contents. The spiral is built up until a child can functionalize as much contents as possible as a formed person--thus someone who not only understands or experiences the fundamentals in their comprehensive effect but who also puts them into action in his own life. At this point the teacher becomes superfluous and his guiding-unlocking function can be dispensed with.

For the becoming self, the whole of life's way leads from acquiring contents to functionalizing what he learns, understands or recognizes. The task of forming as acquiring elementals as basic attitudes and functionalizing these fundamentals as lifestyling contents remains with a person for the duration of his life.

# 4.3 Relevance of the elemental and the fundamental for psychopedagogics

The elemental and the fundamental are key concepts for didactic theory and practice. This amounts to making these contents accessible to a child so that he acquires them. This is done by a guided unlocking of the contents and also by guiding a child when he uses these acquired contents. It is obvious that a child who has acquired contents and insights has become different, his command of his lifeworld has changed and he has established different relationships with reality.

The fact **that a child learns** is an area of research and implementation for didactic pedagogics. But it is psychopedagogics that provides an answer to the question **how he learns**. In this connection, the modes of learning already have been presented and their essentials disclosed and clarified by psychopedagogics. The only question is whether the view that only contents as elementals are formative (i.e., contents in terms of which a child's becoming is actualized) can be addressed by psychopedagogics. It can shed light on the pupils' acquisition of contents by, e.g., indicating the pathic, gnostic and meaning giving aspects of unlocking an elemental that has a clearly fundamental thrust.

The essentials of a child's acquisition of elementals can be highlighted and described by psychopedagogics. It can be of great help to the didactician who launches the unlocking to know how this acquisition occurs and what its essential aspects are.

Ultimately, becoming is self-becoming, consequently it is of primary importance to the didactician that psychopedagogics also uncover

and describe the essentials of a child's initial and later functionalizing of the acquired contents so that, in this respect, the didactician can exhaust the insights established by a psychopedagogic illumination of a child's acquisition and application of the contents.

Weniger asserts that a child first becomes a personality, first becomes someone himself through putting the fundamental (that is a dividend of the unlocking activity) into action. Those who do not put the fundamentals into action in their life will not have the same increase in forming or becoming as children who do. Also in this connection pronouncements by psychopedagogics are important because there are differences in the course of becoming that can originate not from a defective unlocking but from a child's unwillingness to functionalize the acquired contents. It is of importance for the didactician to know why pupils are ready or not ready to functionalize the acquired contents.

The didactician looks to the findings of psychopedagogics when elementals have to be determined from life contents (syllabus). Psychopedagogics indicates the ways children give meaning at different periods of their life. Mindful of Scheurl's statement that the elemental always exemplifies something to someone, it is obvious that elementals and their relationships have to take into account the pupils' level of becoming and previous experiences or fundamental equipment already at their disposal.

Among other things, the present study emphasizes that the elemental and the fundamental are direct counterpoints against the attack of positivism on education. The practitioner has to be able to change this science to something of anthropological-existential significance for a becoming child. This approach involves both a scientific propaedeutic and life hermeneutic. The science to which the didactic is joined and within which a child gains insight, also clarifies his own life and orients him to the whole of human life. It is necessary that psychopedagogics give an indication of how the teacher needs to understand a child as possibility to accept and to command fundamentals.

In this connection, psychopedagogics in its view of a child can take fruitful note of the insights of Leonhard Lahrmann in his work "Phantasie und elementares Lernen". Lahrmann's view brings psychopedagogics and historical pedagogics together to the degree that he describes fantasy as a moment of concretizing time and space. It is in fantasy as primordial fact that a person as eccentricity is able everywhere and always to recall lived-experiences and experiences.

Lahrmann also connects fantasy as an elementary "social organ" in the didactic event and directs an appeal to psycho-, historical- and didactic-pedagogics to reflect together and converse about the events of forming and becoming.

Thus, play (fantasy) as a ground form of teaching is entwined with fantasy "while each child creates his own world" ["indem es (das Kind) sich eine eigene Welt erschafft"]. A person is equally a fantasizing and an understanding being. It is in fantasy that a bridge is built between the surrounding world and a child's own world. Finally, it is only a person, as a fantasizing being, who can take flight and exceed the elemental unlocking situation and experience emancipation, "premonition" and transcendence. "Only in exceeding spatial and temporal boundaries can a person become a human being".

Psychopedgogics has to reflect on the degree to which the formative aim of teaching is attained by careful observation limited to unlocking as an aid and not as a guiding aid on the fundamental path to a lived life. Who other than a psychopedagogue can investigate Comenius' (19, 183) statement that "Eye and spirit are thirsty for subject matter."\* Psychopedagogics can seek information about the spiritual formative function of "the thirst of eye and spirit for contents" in order to also meaningfully guide the didactic unlocking in its being attuned to relationships that influence a child.

Finally, psychopedagogics illuminates, inquires into, discloses and describes with psychopedagogic categories and criteria the entire didactic practice of multiple unlocking, elemental input, a pushing through from the elemental to the fundamental and the fundamental actualization of becoming and thus makes a relevant contribution to establishing a practice that expedites a child's changing his life meanings.

<sup>\*</sup> Comenius' quotation is changed slightly.

# 4.4 Relevance of the elemental and fundamental for historical and comparative pedagogics

A person is an historical being and the human species is an historically appearing species (Merleau-Ponty). What has happened in the past is important to a child today only to the extent that it is an actuality for him in his present situation. The history of the past has to be discussed as concrete and concretized time in the present teaching situation and then not so much for the present but for the sake of unlocking the elemental that is important for the child as fundamental content by which he can interpret and command the future.

The theory of the elemental and the fundamental can be turned by Comparative Pedagogics into a criterion by which contemporary and former unlocking activities are launched or actualized. This should indicate if there is a meaningful reduction to the elemental or a clear pushing through from elemental input to a gain in fundamental contents and the degree to which guiding the child in functionalizing the fundamental occurs.

By using the elemental and the fundamental as a criterion regarding, e.g., Greek-Hellenistic didactic practice, light possibly can be thrown on the exasperating didactic secrets hidden in the overwhelming functioning of *fundamentalia* in Ancient Greece. What elementals were unlocked and how in order to push through to such epoch making fundamentals over such wide-ranging terrains? This is one of the challenging possibilities that the application of the theory of the elemental and the fundamental as criterion holds for Comparative Pedagogics.

# 4.5 The relevance of the elemental and the fundamental for sociopedagogics

Learning is actualized in concrete situations in which fantasy plays a constitutive role in forming the experience of space and time. A child's established relationship in this situation cannot be thought of as being without fantasy. However, there also is an elemental, fantasy rich social moment as a sense-giving constituent of such a learning situation (19, 11). A child always patterns his self-dialogue after the model of adults who in a didactic situation enter his world of objects and thus his lifeworld.

The adult's role is with the elemental contents that are presented to a child-in-situation. It has already been indicated that the adult's unlocking has to be accessible to a child for him to in any sense be able to intervene in his life.

Where there is a deficiency in establishing pedagogic relationships, a child cannot join in the unlocking and the formative social-anthropological moment will be wanting and this will hinder him in the fundamental result of arriving at his own identification.

In the extreme case where a child does not experience an identifiable encounter, he will have a gap in his attitude toward adults that can have the consequence that he might even begin to act a-socially.

The theory of the elemental and fundamental asks that sociopedagogics investigate, expose and express in socio-didactic theory the well-know statement by Kwant that a person is social in everything, also and especially in a pedagogic-didactic situation, such that it will contribute to the meaningful establishment of practice.

If the fantasy-rich social moments in the situation progress successfully, a child will lived-experience what is unlocked as a social elemental; on the one hand, a meaningful self-dialogue can be carried on as a fundamental result of such a lived-experience, but, on the other hand, he also will find meaning in the larger social framework with which his life is intrinsically woven.

Preaching social obligations, demands, etc. to a child is giving fundamental input that will not result in acquiring a fundamental. This means that if a child does not lived-experience the social moments in a concrete situation as meaningful, didactically speaking, it really is useless to expect that outside of the situation he will establish healthy social relationships.

Didactically speaking, an adult is a means by which a child masters contents that will allow him to flourish to a meaningful existence. All formative contents are for a child also "adult-colored". There are moments of adulthood in all contents that contribute to his elevating his level of dialogue (with the contents, with reality). In the mutual awareness between teacher and learner (6, 22) something of the later entire human reality and life reality is

already included, namely an awareness of human solidarity and a mutual presence to each other (a social moment).

Finally, sociopedagogics can speak to the great social-didactic task suggested by Derbolav that, in his view, a child arrives at himself through others (16, 300).

# 4.6 Relevance of the elemental and the fundamental for subject didactics

#### Introduction

A responsible didactic theory necessarily has to result in a didactic practice and particularly in a lesson structure. The elemental and the fundamental have to have relevance in the lesson structure otherwise they cannot contribute to didactic theory and practice. There has to be an awareness that the lesson structure also will be modified to the extent that didactic research throws new light on the phenomenon of educating and discovers essentials that have not previously been expressed.

#### 4.6.1 Source of contents

Without contents, there cannot be teaching or educating. Where do the contents that arise in subject didactics come from? Formative contents can be nothing more than lifeworld contents. To transform these lifeworld contents into syllabi in the school situation, subject specialists are appointed by the state who take slices from, delimit or even reduce the lifeworld in its manifestations and write this up as syllabi for particular "subjects" or areas of knowledge. It is clear that knowledge in the lifeworld is not known as biology, physics, chemistry, history etc. This division into "subjects" has its cultural-historical custom, but it is a custom that borders on the arbitrary (Weniger; 57, p 31).

This "subject" division is done for school organization, division of labor among teachers, and to make subject choices enjoyable and even possible for pupils. In the extreme case, this is even a way of judging whether students have received satisfactory instruction to enroll in a particular course of study at a college, but especially at a university.

The formative contents now are selected from these syllabi in terms of which a child can be taught and educated.

Seyfert (16, p 256) espouses an entirely different arrangement, for example, than that followed in the Transvaal. For the fifth school year, he has only three main themes: house building, heating and lighting (electricity excluded). All teaching revolves around these three themes. One can imagine that many areas of knowledge are unlocked with such themes--also language and history, social history and natural sciences can be learned from these main themes.

Later it will seem that the theory of the elemental and the fundamental cannot remain limited to the immediate subject, but an unlocking that has a highly fundamental thrust leads to a perspective by a child that extends far into the horizon of the delimited immediate subject.

Kreschensteiner indicates that each subject area has a formative value that is unique to it and that does not necessarily figure into other subject areas (16, p 220). It, therefore, is of great importance that those who select contents for teaching keep these unique moments of life in mind and include them in the syllabi.

A pronouncement by Frick also deserves attention in this introduction. He asserts that the "formative process" is receptive but it also is actualizing. Authentic forming is the fruit of formative work that, while it assimilates the formative material, knowledge is changed and a free reign over the material is brought about (16, p 187). For subject didactics this means that the contents are made one's own (reception) but also that there is a functionalization (actualization) of these contents that one has made his own. A child must not only **know** (have insight, understanding) but also **be able** (can do himself, use the acquired contents). Teaching has to lead a child to "a free reign over the material". In other words, he has to be guided to emancipation and to freely use the contents, also in his later life. Schleiermacher's classic statement is related to this, namely: "We learn not for school, only for life".

## 4.6.2 Existential concentration (57, p 95)

Teaching is not of coincidental importance but is a necessary task of life. For Weniger, this does not involve accumulating knowledge but concentrating and focusing on the didactic activity as a means to

meaningfully live one's life (57, p 97). Existential concentration is actualized through didactic concentration that is a precondition for it. There cannot be a life task to be fulfilled without control over the contents that embody that task. The field of concentration for didactic work is the elemental. Didactic work takes place in terms of the essentials of the contents.

# 4.6.3 The elemental and the fundamental in the lesson structure

In order to clearly focus on making room for the elemental and the fundamental in the lesson structure, an example is presented that gradually ought to clarify this whole matter.

### Example of a lesson

### Localizing information:

Grade: 8th

Subject: Geography Time: 35 minutes

Grouping: Boys and girls of the same age (13 to 14 years), intellectual potential follows distribution in a normal class, the course of experiences is relatively homogeneous (This often does not figure into the lesson structure, but according to Lahrmann it ought to be taken into account. The didactic activity does not start from zero--there are always life experiences that precede the unlocking, and in some cases a child's experiences are even going to be ahead of the unlocking. This is a problem that the unlocker has to take into account because a lesson can fail when it is "unnecessary" to give, i.e., when the experiences of the majority of the pupils have already surpassed what is to be presented. But even a few children who have mastered all of the insights raised in the lesson can lead to things going wrong. The necessity of also taking into account the course of experiences in designing a lesson is advanced here).

## The teaching aim:

The lesson aim: Convection of rain

The learning aim: Insight with respect to rain as a natural phenomenon, more particularly how air currents cause rain.

## Stating the problem:

Formulation of the problem: Through actualizing foreknowledge and stating the problem the children are led to formulate a problem for themselves, namely, "What is rain?"

**Problem solution:** By asking questions around the central problem and following the answers given by the pupils with counter-questions and additional questions to answer, they are lead to their own solution to the problem, "What is rain?"

From the learning aim, the teacher considers the structure of the reality of the convection of rain in its essential contents and decides that there are certain basic aspects that can serve as input for his unlocking. However, the central question for a child is "What is rain?" The answer to the question has to lead to an understanding of the water cycle in nature and the convection of rain.

The teacher is aware that a child already knows what rain is from experience and **actualizing** his **foreknowledge** will link up with it. The lesson does not begin with unlocking the elemental; it has to lead to that. Thus, actualizing foreknowledge should center on questions and answers woven around the following themes: "Who of you has seen how the weather springs up?" "How does a person know that it is going to rain?" "What other phenomena are paired with weather that springs up?" "Tell how it begins to rain".

Then, **exposing new contents** follows and there is a push to unlock the elemental. A child is an active participant in the lesson and responds to questions such as the following: "What is rain?" An answer could possibly be "Water". "Is water that comes out of a faucet rain?" "No. Rain is water that falls from the sky" "How does this differ from water that comes from a faucet?" Possible answer: "Water comes out of a faucet in a stream but rain falls from the sky in the form of drops."

"Why is water in the sky?" Here an explication is possibly necessary. In each case, a child has to arrive at the insight that warm air rises and carries water vapor away. "Is there water in the air around us? Come, let's look". The teacher shows the children an empty glass and puts a piece of ice in it. The lesson moves forward. Later the glass, that is now wet on the outside, is returned to. Questions are directed to it so the pupils can come to the conclusion that these drops of water are nothing else than moisture (water vapor) that has condensed on the cold glass.

Thus, there is water vapor in the air that essentially is the same as rain, but it is not rain. It has only formed drops on the glass as it has cooled. In similar ways, the concepts convection currents, condensation, cloud forming and rain are dealt with (actually unlocked) so a child obtains a clear understanding of the natural phenomenon of the convection of rain.

Various other aspects related to or that stem from the convection of rain are, e.g., convection of rain is a seasonal phenomenon and is dependent on evaporation, winds, temperature. Rain can be forecast if a person can interpret all of the factors, e.g., air temperature, humidity and the influence of air pressure. The physical and geographic characteristics of a landscape, as well as its location, all influence rainfall. Again, rainfall influences the agriculture, climate and the occupations of the area. Thus, there are a multiplicity of connections that all form part of a large jigsaw puzzle.

The teacher needs to avoid becoming entangled in the intertwined relationships. The learning aim has to be clearly formulated and the unlocking has to lead to it. A child needs to have the opportunity to actualize the new contents. This is an important part of the lesson because this means that the acquired insights and contents (fundamentals) are functionalized (used). Actualizing the new contents amounts to a guided functionalization of the acquired contents or fundamentals. The principle of systematization has to be implemented in that one wants to see systematization in didactic practice in the form of reviewing, surveying, and justifying. Systematization and ordering are an important intermediate phase at this stage of a lesson and can be brought about by well chosen questions to which the pupils give answers, e.g., "Why is there talk of convection of rain and not merely of rain?" In their answers the pupils have to show a knowledge and understanding of the connection between convection currents and the convection of rain. "Why can it be expected that rainfall will be higher on the coast than in some parts of the interior?" Large areas of water (e.g., lakes) have the possibility of greater evaporation that, in turn, can promote condensation and rainfall. More examples can be given, but the essence is that a child has acquired contents and insights by which he can reflect on and interpret the world.

It is obvious that the unlocking also allows a child's selfunderstanding to increase so that the following possible perspectives are indicated: Possibly a child had no idea that water vapor is present everywhere in the air; the unlocking showed this by means of a practical experiment. He now understands that he continually inhales water vapor. Further, seasonal rain is now a more substantial concept for him. The water cycle in nature allows him to better understand his own dependence on natural phenomena. Also, in this case, a number of other examples can be mentioned that indicate that he now has a different grasp of reality than before the unlocking--and a changed grasp of reality means establishing changed perspectives and relationships and a shifting of his life-horizon.

However, the fundamental is pushed further than only this first functionalization of it. The contents and insights acquired are involved in subsequent unlockings. As he has mastered the elementals of the convection of rain, these contents and insights will have a guiding function when he experiences further unlocking in relation to this theme, e.g., cyclone rains, dew, hail and snow, agriculture. The fundamental is foreknowledge (past) and guiding (present) contents for future unlockings.

Even with this, the whole effect of the acquired contents is not exhausted. The fundamental also is more than its value as acquired contents that function in future unlockings. The fundamental extends to many horizons and is part of the learner's giving meaning and sense to the world and reality. The entire course of the fundamental cannot be put into words and also cannot receive much attention in a 35 minute period in a school teaching situation. It is with respect to overloaded syllabi that the teacher is impelled to get past and keep busy with presenting another or following theme of further insights and perspectives that are embedded in the fundamental. A child has viewed, looked into the contents and his insights have been ordered and systematized and he already has functionalized certain fundamental insights that have enlarged his horizon of understanding and living. But there is still more to be said about the fundamental insights. The following can contribute to considering a child's life contents; and in formative teaching there ought to be time devoted to discussing these life contents:

- --In nature water is not a form so much as it moves and assumes different forms;
- --Storage dams on rivers are essential and necessary because they not only supply necessary water but they also can

influence the climate in the area; --Water and rain have great economic consequences.

As in the previous case, a few additional extrapolations of the concepts that have become familiar in the initial unlocking of the elemental are mentioned. They also influence a child's anticipations and expectations as indicated in the following examples:

- --One day when I am grown, I want to be an engineer who designs and constructs dams so that one has some control over the water cycle;
- --One day when I buy a place, I want it to be in an area that has regular rainfall;
- --My dad has a place in this rainfall area and he ought to be a successful farmer since he does not have bad runoff.

Finally, the contents influence a child's transcending and struggling when he allows them to arise in fundamental questions such as: Why does a person pray for rain? Why is a day of giving thanks observed after a good season? How did God create the ocean and separate it from the land?

When the teaching, initial functionalizing of *fundamentalia* and their evaluation and measurement are long forgotten, the fundamental contents still function as living and meaning giving contents in the lifestyle of the onetime child who later lives his life as an adult. People look to him, listen to him, see what he achieves and talk to him as an educated, (in)formed person who leads a meaningful life--and it is not possible that he know everything since everything does not come forth through teaching and indeed the elemental makes it possible for him to experience the contents for the first time in a primordial way.

In summary, a lesson structure cast along the lines of the elemental and the fundamental implies nothing more than what appears nowadays. The pattern is as follows: Find an elemental or elementals, actualize foreknowledge, unlock the elementals, actualize them (the new contents), systematically and responsibly functionalize the fundamental as far as previously decided or as seems necessary, encourage the learner to himself functionalize the fundamental contents.

The presentation of learning contents, as in the preceding example, clarifies Derbolav's view that unlocking the elemental is a path to the fundamental, that such an unlocking simultaneously is a scientific propaedeutic and a life hermeneutic. The clarification of scientific contents allows them to eventually become life contents for a child (16, p 317).

### 4.6.4 Lesson preparation

The preparation of a lesson actually begins with teacher training. One cannot expect someone who does not have an understanding of the elemental and the fundamental to be able to launch a successful lesson. Thus, there is mention of being prepared because of teacher training in applying these fundamental ideas.

### Preparation in practice

The teacher who is acquainted with the concepts elemental and fundamental and their relevance and importance for theory and practice will know how to find a harmony between form and content. He searches for this harmony in the elemental that he will expose in his teaching. "Finding the elemental" should be done with great care because the whole formative event will succeed or fail with unlocking the elemental. The teacher should be clear about the aims he strives for because they will give meaning to the practice he creates. The predominant aim should be for children to "change" by making an elemental accessible to them. In order to launch a successful lesson, the teacher should take the following into account in designing it:

- (a) The learning content that is going to be exposed;
- (b) his localizing information as well as his knowledge of the pupils will give him an indication of the appropriate range of connected essential elements that ought to figure in the unlocking;
- (c) he should research the learning contents in order to stress those elementals contained in aspects of reality and life;
- (d) the long list of the forms of appearance of the elementals should be reviewed so the teacher can choose the proper ones to introduce the particular learning contents and make them accessible to a child;

- (e) the elemental is not the beginning point of unlocking and the teacher should reflect on what foreknowledge should be actualized;
- (f) the teacher should consider how he can establish a relationship with the children so that they will be disposed to participate;
- (g) the teacher should anticipate children's questions that lead to stating a problem and that in its unraveling and unfolding expedite a fruitful moment;
- (h) in exposing the new contents there are life moments that should not be left out and the teacher must ascertain what they are;
- (i) the teacher should reflect on his guiding activities and his role in the course of the lesson so that a child will be allowed the greatest freedom of personal activity;
- (j) the passage from elemental to fundamental also requires reflection beforehand;
- (k) the teacher should anticipate the course of functionalizing as the first basic activity of adequately unlocking the elemental:
- (1) controlling and evaluating questions that follow should take into account diverse life considerations and selfunderstandings and not only mere facts;
- (m) the possibility that there can be a fundamental effect that leads to emancipation, transcendence, fantasy and creativity should be anticipated in preparing the lesson.

## 4.6.5 Evaluating and measuring

It ought to be clear from the above discussion that evaluating and measuring are part of a child's functionalizing the fundamentals. Thus, testing contents really amounts to asking a child to "give back" his acquired contents. Didactically speaking, such testing should not merely be focused on the information that has been memorized, retained and reproduced by a child but also on establishing the degree to which they can use fundamental contents. Didactically meaningful testing/evaluating should be directed to insights and relationships, applications and deductions--all within a child's horizon of understanding.