CHAPTER VI ORTHOPEDAGOGIC-ORTHODIDACTIC EVALUATION OF AND ASSISTANCE FOR CHILDREN WITH LANGUAGE PROBLEMS

A. S. du Toit

1. Introductory orientation to reading and spelling

Children with learning difficulties have only recently been assisted from within a pedagogical perspective; this way of relating to such children gave rise to the part-perspective of pedagogics now known as orthopedagogics. Until this recent development, intervening with and assisting these children usually was in the hands of medical practitioners and psychologists, most of whom followed a strongly functionalistic approach. The sorry neglect of the pedagogical (specifically the orthopedagogic) regarding these children can partly be attributed to the fact that, while pedagogics (the science of educating) was still largely dominated by philosophical thought, medicine, and psychology (pursuing the medical model) had developed as empirical sciences. The culmination of this approach is the work-up of a psychiatric, clinical picture, etiology, symptomatology, and therapy for such children.⁽¹¹⁾ As a result, children with learning difficulties are delivered from the pedagogical to the medical and psychological sciences where the aim is to diagnose and treat their learning difficulties.

This approach has several consequences, among which is the way language (and, therefore, reading and spelling) is viewed:

- a. In accordance with the medical model (which emphasizes the neurology and physiology of the brain), speaking is viewed as the reproduction of established engram patterns in different areas of the brain; language is reduced to a physical-motor, sound phenomenon. Accordingly, it is nothing more than a psycho-physically founded symbol system which serves as a means of communicating with others. Language is merely an individual's means of "adapting" to his environment;
- b. In diagnosing and "remediating" children with (language) learning difficulties, this substantialist understanding of

language results in a view which isolates language difficulties

from the child as a person. In addition, reading and spelling

problems are never quite connected with each other, and aren't viewed as language difficulties.

However, since pedagogics has assumed responsibility for the child with learning difficulties, there is a search for the authentic task of orthopedagogics and orthodidactics regarding children with reading and spelling difficulties; from this search, a view of language (and, therefore, reading and spelling) has emerged which is founded in human existence.

Before turning to a description of orthopedagogicallyorthodidactically evaluating and assisting children with reading and spelling problems, a cursory survey of this existentially founded view of reading and spelling (of language) is given. Since this overview will not go into the sensory-motor and psychological aspects of reading and spelling, the interested reader is referred to the literature on these aspects.⁽²⁾ This overview begins by considering a child-anthropological orientation to reading and spelling. That is, these phenomena are viewed and investigated as they show themselves in the child's unique situations. This requires fathoming the origin of reading and spelling for a child, and this means penetrating to the nature of child language (i.e., what language really is, and what it means to him). From the primordially given fact of child-being that he is someone who wants to be and become someone himself (Langeveld), his existence (i.e., his directedness to the world) results in him cultivating, revising, and constituting a world-for-himself. Language

plays a very important role in child existence because it is a medium for giving sense and meaning (i.e., for constituting his own world).

Although language is but one way of giving meaning, it is a phenomenon central to human existence. This is because all other forms of giving meaning can be made the subject of language.⁽³⁾ Thus, it is a way of giving meaning which is lived in interaction with the other ways of giving meaning. For this reason, a pre-language child exists in a deficient way because language gives all forms of meaning a new way of existing which remains inaccessible to such a child.

Discovering spoken language means the child has available a medium for giving new sense and meaning to his world, and this occurs through the act of naming. The world is a world-with-sense because it is meaningful to a child. The, until now, closed, unordered, unfamiliar world is transformed into a new, meaningful one from the moment language is discovered.

Though language is proclaimed the primary mode of giving meaning, an essential aspect also embedded in this overarching function must be revealed, i.e., language is a system of symbols. Van der Stoep⁽⁴⁾ shows that it is imperative that a person break through the isolation and limitations of his own situation, and this can and does happen by means of language because it is a system of symbols. It is understandable that the initial modes of communicating, such as gesturing and mimicking, can serve as means for this breaking through only with difficulty, since they are bound to time, location, and space. Because of these inadequacies of gesture and mimicry, language developed into symbols. In other words, according to Pos⁽⁵⁾, in language, the gesture assumes an abstract significance. This means that language is always a manifestation of something else; it points from itself to what it symbolizes. Were this not to happen, it would lose, or not have its existential force as a medium for attributing meaning. Therefore, a child can only be on the way to the highest forming of his existence when he has acquired language. This is because, in acquiring it, he is able to distance himself from being bound to time and space to communicate. Because of this symbolic character, the child can not only distance himself from the object, but he also can reach for temporally-spatially absent objects.

Yet, as **sound symbols**, language, as a medium for this breaking through, is not available without limits. Although establishing a landscape with others who are outside of one's immediate visual and experiential world can happen (e.g., via telephone), because it requires the continued being-together-with-others, this limitation of language **as sound symbols** becomes evident when one is far apart from others.

The **written symbol**, as a symbol for the sound symbol, however, overcomes this obstacle because when a child acquires a proper

command of this, he can **co-lived experience**^{*} (in reading) the unknown through the unknown (author), and he can present (in writing) to an unknown person (reader) what is known to him (the reader). Thus, via the written word, a child can give meaning to a further lying, more abstract world. So viewed, reading and writing (spelling) are primarily **language activities** which serve as extensions for the becoming child in his existential going out to the world.

Also, the reading act cannot be constituted properly unless the child can spell correctly, and the reverse. Reading and spelling (reading and spelling problems) should not be thought of as separate from each other because, indeed, they are interlaced. Moreover, spelling fulfills a creative, constructive role in written language because, without it, formulating one's own meaningful thoughts undoubtedly will be limited.

In addition, the ontic (fundamental) phenomenon of a child's selfbecoming, his self-forming, occurs based on **lived experiences**. However, this self-becoming does not rule out the pedagogical, which is always presupposed because a child cannot properly become someone by himself; that is, he is always dependent on the support and help of an adult. As a category of human openness, **lived experience** is a way of giving meaning to the world, and, in the light of the above explanation of language, it is understandable that language (and reading and spelling) is closely related to human existence.

Sonnekus⁽⁶⁾ describes **lived experience** as a child intentionally taking a personal position (attitude), as totality-in-function, in communicating with reality. In his becoming adult, this **lived experiencing** is actualized by a young child on different levels varying from a pathic-affective to a more gnostic-cognitive, distanced one, both of which are the normative **lived experience** of meaning. For Sonnekus, pathic **lived experience** is primordial and subjective, and is a pre-cognitive attunement in direct communication with things, while by gnostic he means a distanced, cognitive, object-situated **lived experience**.

^{*} See footnotes in Chapter 1 regarding the tranlation of "beleweniswereld" and "belewe".

Initially a child's **lived experience** of the lifeworld is strongly colored pathically but, to the extent that language has been discovered, he breaks through to a pathic-gnostic attunement or level because it enables him to establish a distanced relationship with the world. Language serves both the pathic (affective) and the gnostic (cognitive) because both moments are always present; at most, there is a shifting accent from one to the other. Thus, next is a brief explication of the relationships between language and affect and between language and thought.

The child-world relationship is always an affective one, where affective means a readiness to participate in the surrounding world. In this regard, Van der Stoep⁽⁷⁾ indicates that a person's world essentially is realized by means of feeling. This role of feeling raises the important aspect of expression. Where a pre-language child's modes of expression are dominated by the intuitive and, therefore, often do not result in "acceptable" forms of expression, with the discovery of language, the affective is calmed because, by means of language as a medium of expression, a greater degree of matter-of-factness arises, and child naiveté gives way to a more formal mode of existence.

Since reading and spelling cannot be separated from a language context, they too are affective language-acts: in reading, a child expresses his feelings, and he is able to participate in the author's feelings. In reading, he enters an emotionally colored dialogue with another. Only by spelling can he proceed to express himself emotionally in his written language. In this respect, correct spelling generally is a precondition for emotion-filled language expression.

The relationship between language and thought has been explicated fully elsewhere.⁽⁸⁾ Consequently, it is sufficient to indicate that the development of thought is prior to the development of language but, at the same time, language comes into play as the carrier of and foundation for thinking. Deficient reading and spelling acts, thus, will restrain thinking in its development from the concrete to the abstract because thinking is then deprived of a necessary stepping-stone.

As cognitive language acts, reading and spelling follow a course of globalizing, analyzing, and synthesizing, and this same course is discernible in a child's thinking. Thus, a little child who reads

mainly on a global level primarily thinks visually, while a child who reads on a level of analyzing and synthesizing shows a more schematic and abstract course of thinking.⁽⁹⁾ What this amounts to is that fluent and accurate reading and spelling promote a schema and, especially, an abstract course of thinking, while an over-adherence to global reading and phonetic spelling restrain abstract thinking.

In connection with the above, it is emphasized that there is a direct connection between language and actualizing intelligence. Language functions as a medium for intelligence. Where intelligence is viewed as a power to break through one's own situations, language is a means for this breakthrough. Where there are reading and spelling difficulties, the child will have a defective means of breaking through available, and this will result in a weak actualization of intelligence. Thus, reading and spelling are basic requirements for a general command of language, and the tendency in the current literature to separate reading and spelling from each other and to view them as not connected to a language context is entirely without foundation. In addition, reading cannot be reduced to mere stimulus-response processes existing in several physiological and psychological stages. Above all, reading and spelling are language acts, and since language and existence are intimately intertwined, these language acts are invested with an existential (Dasein) quality.

2. Orthodidactic evaluation of reading and spelling

As indicated above, reading and spelling are language acts constituted through **lived experiencing**. Therefore, when orthodidactic evaluation occurs, the above facts must be thoroughly considered. If they aren't, in other words, if reading and spelling are viewed as isolated from each other, and as separate from a language context, as isolated from a child's existence, then evaluation degenerates into a mere diagnosis of symptoms, which is of little value to the orthopedagogue who later wants to provide help.

If reading and spelling are viewed as modes of **Dasein**, then orthodidactic evaluation must be supplemented with an orthopedagogic evaluation. Therefore, it is more appropriate to talk of orthopedagogic-orthodidcatic evaluation because the aim is to penetrate to the essentials of the child's reading and spelling world, as an experiential world.

a. Reading and spelling as images of lived experience

This involves investigating the child's **lived experiences** of reading and spelling, particularly, regarding the state of his pathic-affective, gnostic-cognitive, and attribution of meaning on a normative level. In this connection, pathic flooding is frequently noted in children with reading and spelling problems with the result that there is an inadequate breakthrough to a gnostic-cognitive attunement, which also results in the inadequate actualization of intelligence.

The phenomenological method is an obvious one to use for such an evaluation of the child's **lived experiencing** which takes place with respect to the exploratory media implemented. Since orthopedagogic evaluation is discussed fully in Chapter V, its particulars are mentioned only briefly:

To enter a child's reading and spelling world, as experiential world, one must look at his historicity, i.e., the reading and spelling relationships he has constructed in the past. These data are extremely important because, not only do these relationships of the past co-define the present, they also point to the future. For this reason, use is made of both a **hetero-** as well as an **auto-historicity**, which are called exploratory conversations about the child's reading and spelling historicity. Penetrating questions can be asked about child-teacher relationships, the child's attitude about reading and spelling class periods, child-parent relationships, the homework situation, etc.

Then, use is made of various observation media, including the **Guide-it** and the **Wiggly blocks**. Here the child is confronted with a task, during which **pedagogical observation** takes place while he is involved with the medium. At first, pedagogical observation is a pedagogical conversation because, over and above the child's dealing with the medium, he also is in a relationship with the orthopedagogue. For example, by carefully observing the block building activity, one can come to know the level and quality of the child's pathic-affective and gnostic-cognitive **lived experiencing**. In this connection, Kotze⁽¹⁰⁾ notes that stagnating on a level of trial-and-error is a very strong indication of **lived experiencing** on a pathic level. On the other hand, by planning and insight, a child

can attain an adequate structuring by his building activity, and this is evidence of cognitive **lived experiencing**.

Similarly, the preponderance of a pathic-affective or gnosticcognitive **lived experiencing** of the adult-child relationship can be made observable; that is, the kinds of explanations required before the child understands the task can indicate the level of **experiencing**. For example, if he only understands the task when it is continually explained (demonstrated) concretely, this is an indication of pathic **lived experiencing**.

Third, special media are used to investigate the levels of **lived experiencing** reached by a child in his learning (reading and spelling) world. The **Rorschach** (Inkblots) is an excellent medium for this because it provides a clear image of the structure of the pathic-affective and gnostic-cognitive moments of **lived experiencing** within learning relationships.

Fourth, of great importance is the use of several thematic projective media (language media) such as the well-known **T.A.T.**, Langeveld's **Columbus series**, Rotter's **incomplete sentences**, and van Lennep's **Four picture test**. These media lend themselves especially to fathoming learning relationships, specific relationships to things, other children, to parents, to teachers, etc.

Fifth, intelligence media are used. According to Sonnekus⁽¹¹⁾, as far as his intelligence is concerned, a child is a totality which is simultaneously present in the world in different ways of being, more specifically, as ways of **lived experiencing** on pathic-affective and gnostic-cognitive levels. When, e.g., the **New South African Individual Scale** is used, the primary concern is not to obtain an intelligence quotient, but rather a description of the child's modes of breaking through situations.

The orthopedagogic evaluation, which results in an image of **lived experiencing** reading and spelling, however, must be supplemented with an orthodidactic evaluation directed at acquiring an image of the deficiencies in the activity forms of reading and spelling.

b. An orthodidactic image of reading and spelling problems

Before considering an orthodidactic image of reading and spelling difficulties, first it is necessary to try to answer these pressing

questions: how does a child learn to read and spell, or how does a child learn to master the acts of reading and spelling?

When a child correctly masters reading and spelling this means he has obtained an insight into the relationship between the sounds of spoken language and written language symbols, as symbols for an already abstracted sound symbol (spelling) and the reverse (reading). This discovery is a cognitive matter founded on a gnostically-cognitively attuned intentional directedness to reading and spelling, but which includes a pathic-affective moment because without an affective readiness to participate, the acts of reading and spelling will not be mastered. Essentially, this readiness to participate is based on **lived experiencing** security.

In addition, the acts of reading and spelling are constituted according to the following qualitative act structure, or activity form, viewed as the total structure of a simultaneously actualized globalizing, analyzing, and synthesizing.⁽¹²⁾

At first, the activity form occurs for a child on a global level, in that words and sentences show themselves to a child as meaningless language scribbles. External aids such as pictured objects, other concrete examples and sound images paired with the words allow a child to readily discover that the scribbles are meaningful. At first, the sentence structure appears as a reality closed to the child and, initially, he is directed only to its global content; gradually he progresses to a de-globalizing or spontaneous analyzing by which he sees and hears separate words and letters/sounds. By means of deglobalizing, whivh is followed and completed by a consciously attendant analyzing, the child finally makes a breakthrough to the sound-letter element; i.e., he becomes conscious of the value of each graphic element or letter characteristic.

Although de-globalizing, together with the attendant analyzing, necessarily are intermediate phases between initial globalassociative imprinting and the occurrence of autonomous acts of reading and spelling, they cannot stand apart from the other aspects of the activity form. The three aspects (globalizing-analyzingsynthesizing) always manifest themselves as a totality-act.

Thus, analyzing and synthesizing presuppose each other, and hardly can be separated. The discovery that sounds and letters are the "building blocks" of the spoken and written word always occurs within the context of sentences and words; i.e., the meaning of the parts is in the whole word or sentence. Hence, there is complete agreement with Stander⁽¹³⁾ who characterizes the aim of this three-fold unitary act as a differentiation-within-structure (i.e., an analysis for the sake of analysis doesn't take place, but for the sake of constituting the meaning of the word and sentence).

By de-globalizing, and the accompanying analyzing, a child gains insight into the written and sound systems. Language gradually shows itself to the child as a structure; i.e., he becomes aware of the ways in which living phonetic language is visually represented, and the reverse. Thus, there is a conscious search for patterns, relationships, and connections within the acts of reading and spelling; in other words, this discovery of language structure occurs in terms of a cognitive act-structure which gradually becomes a noncognitive act-structure (automatism) as a child's insight into the structure of reading and spelling grows.⁽¹⁴⁾

Between the cognitive and non-cognitive act-structures are more refined and differentiated structures, or ordering principles which serve as a bridge for a transition to automatisms: first, a child discovers that there are certain patterns of letter-sound connections. However, this pattern forming (model scheme) is merely on a concrete level. Gradually, these patterns acquire finer differentiations, and pattern forming now occurs on a more abstract level (etiquette scheme). In achieving this, the cognitive actstructure is changed to a non-cognitive one, or to an automatism, a condition for ready familiarity and knowledge. Such automatisms, thus, are used without needing to be analyzed by means of cognitive structuring.

Acquiring a language as a form-system is supported by the valence formation, and valence value of language⁽¹⁵⁾ which is an appeal (positive or negative) which a child can answer. Reasons for a negative appeal [valence] are many, among which are deficiencies in the language teacher's instruction and methods, textbook organization, a qualitatively "poor" language milieu in which a child is growing up.

Corresponding activity forms exist for both the acts of reading and spelling; moreover, their structures are continually interconnected. There also are connections continually made among systems of

distinct words. Louw⁽¹⁶⁾ indicates, in this respect, for example, that a child discovers that vowels and consonants have to follow each other in certain patterns to be able to form a word. Now, he must be able to form a connection between the system formed by a specific word and another "new" word. When this happens, there is transferable insight.

Thus, the entire structure of language is built on various systems and, if he is to acquire a command of language symbols, a child has no other choice than to discover this system. Unfortunately, this breakthrough does not occur in a mechanical way, and the task of guiding a child to this discovery (often) rests squarely on the shoulders of the teachers in the beginning classes.

From this cursory discussion of the qualitative act-structures at the basis of constituting the acts of reading and spelling, it should not be concluded that reading and spelling can be reduced merely to a cognitive matter. It is and continually remains a matter for a person; language (thus, reading and spelling) continually addresses a child as a person. Also, in orthopedagogic-orthodidactic evaluation, this fact is continually kept in mind because it never only involves a particular achievement or "ability", but always a child as a person.

Thus, orthodidactic evaluation involves much more than an investigation of the types of reading and spelling errors a child makes. A qualitative analysis of all errors must be made to fathom the deficiencies in the basic act-structures of reading and spelling; but this analysis also must be viewed against the background of the total activity structure of language. This cannot and must not be considered apart from the child as a person.

Because of the nature of the matter, the media used to acquire such an orthodidactic image are exclusively language media. Following is a brief discussion of orthodidactic evaluation:

Above it is repeatedly emphasized that reading and spelling are not exclusively cognitive acts but that they are also actualized on the level of the personal. Therefore, reading and spelling problems should never be viewed as a partial defect; should this happen, the child then is reduced merely to a reading and spelling problem. From this, it also follows that orthodidactic evaluation no longer revolves around administering several diagnostic and achievement tests, and that an analysis of errors cannot be its final aim. Such a procedure whicu, alas, is still practiced, can be

criticized as being the diagnosis and treatment of symptoms.

Since a reading and spelling problem touches a child's entire being, this implies an essential fathoming of the nature of the world of meaning of the child-in-distress--something which can become evident only in the orthopedagogic-orthodidactic evaluation. As far as orthodidactic evaluation is concerned, it is a means of fathoming the child in dialogue with his shortcomings, as well as understanding the deficiencies in the basic act-structures of the activity forms of reading and spelling. The indispensable analysis of errors, therefore, is the starting and not end point, because it is from them that the deficiencies in the qualitative course of the acts of reading and spelling, as acts of language, mist be investigated.

Without at all claiming or insinuating a rigid pattern, the main aspects of orthodidactic evaluation take approximately the following course:

i) Conversation

Without at all going into the fact that a conversation is a means of establishing an encounter, or that. as a historicity interview, it provides important information about school, sports, social activities, etc., it deserves brief mention as a means of evaluation. Namely, language is a direct and immediate involvement by which a child must continually verbalize his own existential relationships, and it is obvious that, in being involved with language, he can discover it as his language. Does he have a rich or poor vocabulary at his disposal? Are his answers to the pedagogue's questions merely "yes" or "no"? Does he also lived experience his shortcomings on an affective level? Is he eager to receive help for his reading and spelling problems? From these and manv other questions, an apparently innocent conversation between child and pedagogue can provide answers of great use in establishing a reading and spelling image, as an image of **lived experiencing** them.

ii) Spelling, dictation and reading aloud

Although not adequate in themselves, the use of suitable

reading material from the child's school reader, a number of spelling words, and some dictations are indispensable for constructing an image of a child's reading and spelling deficiencies; from this, further investigation is possible of the deficiencies in the ways of constituting the activity-forms of reading and spelling. It must always be kept in mind that a child with reading and spelling difficulties enters a dialogue with his shortcomings when these media are used and, therefore, pedagogical observation is of greater significance than merely recording reading and spelling errors.

It is here where **lived experiencing** limitations is brought to light in all its nakedness, and, therefore, this is a moment when a child throws himself open for pedagogical exploration. Think of his being defenseless when he is required to move in the direction of the world of symbols, of the emergency measures he uses merely to hide his confusion from the pedagogue (e.g., by purposely writing illegibly, by trying to hide his work with his hands). It is in such moments that an important truth must be underlined--when a child fails, he is involved in this with his total being as a person; he does not merely **lived experience** his deficiency with his brain (i.e., cognitively) but, indeed, as a person.

iii) Comprehension tests

The test usually is taken from a textbook, preferably on a level one year below the pupil's grade level, to try to ensure that the requirement is not too difficult. The importance of the comprehension test is that it gives an indication of the level on which thinking moves. Such a test lends itself to this end admirably because responding to it requires mobility of thinking on an abstract level, it requires sustained attending for a relatively long period of time, etc. There is no mention here of mechanical methods of solution such as in arithmetic. The comprehension test confronts a child with his own thinking, and firmly pins down his command of language.⁽¹⁷⁾

iv) The composition

The composition lends itself admirably to an exploration of a child's affective world. As a medium of linguistic expression (and projection), it is an outstanding medium for discovering a child **as** his language. Thinking and fantasizing, as child modes of being, his

intentional directedness, vocabulary, spelling, syntax, suppleness of language, etc. are ascertainable in a composition.

3. Examples of orthopedagogic-orthodidactic evaluations of children with reading and spelling difficulties

A) Sarel, 10 years 10 months, grade 5

1. Historicity image

a) Hetero-historicity

Sarel is the oldest of four children, and comes from a fairly average family in which the relationships seem to be good. His father is a government official, and his mother a teacher.

With respect to physical development, both pregnancy and birth are normal. Also, the course of further development is normal and without incident. However, at nine years, an oculist determined that Sarel has a 15 degree converging strabismus (cross-eyed). Since then, he has worn glasses and, according to the oculist, the problem has been corrected.

At 6 years 7 months Sarel entered school and, according to his mother, he was school ready. During the first term he experienced no problems, but during the second term, there was a change of teachers. For the remainder of the year, as well as for the succeeding year, he was instructed by this teacher. It was after this change in teachers that Sarel began to experience reading and spelling problems, and now his mother maintains that his teacher was very inexperienced and that she neglected the teaching of reading.

At present, Sarel has so many problems with reading and spelling that his parents must read his class notes to him before each test or examination. Despite this, he achieves moderately well, but there has been a downward trend regarding both his school achievement and his directedness to his schoolwork. For example, in the first grade, he attained an average of 79%, while his most recent report card shows an average of 58%. According to his parents, he readily accepts help with his schoolwork, especially from his mother--provided it is not assistance with reading and spelling. Sarel is left-handed, although this does not occur in the rest of the family.

b) Auto-historicity

Sarel says he likes going to school only a little, but that it would be very pleasant if only he could read and spell. He doesn't like homework much, but he is fond of nature and, especially, his pets. Before he began wearing glasses, he always sat in the back of the class, but since wearing them, he sits in the front.

2. Reading and spelling as images of lived experience

a) Wiggly blocks

As soon as instructions were given, Sarel immediately directed himself to the task. Although initially, he proceeded by trial-anderror, later his activity was more planned, and the quality of his work rose from a chaotic to a more coordinated level. At first, his work attitude was one of seeking help (which he readily accepted), but gradually he worked more independently.

From his action with this medium. it is inferred that an initial pathic **lived experiencing** gradually gave way to a more cognitive attunement to the task. The initial use of trial-and-error indicates pathic **lived experiencing**, but by planning and insight, the pathic was broken through to a suitable structuring of the block building act, and a more cognitive focus became possible.

From Sarel's work level and attitude, it is inferred that his use of trial-and-error reflects a stagnation on a global level, and that a planned work attitude first began to take form when he broke through to an analysis of the different blocks (i.e., recognizing and selecting the corner blocks, the side blocks and the middle one).

b) The Rorschach inkblot medium

i) Formal analysis:

36 responses in 19 minutes

W = 7 (WS = 2) F = 27 (21+) A =16 **P** = 4 D = 16 $\mathbf{M} = 3$ Ad = 4 0 = 2 +Dd = 11FC = 3(1+)H = 5DdW = 1CF = 2-Hd = 3 F+% = 77DS = 1 $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{d} = 1$ Anat = 3 A% = 55**Obi** = 4 **H%** = 22 Nat = 1**P%** = 11 Experience type Succession M : C :: 3 : 3 1/2 = ambi-equal

Loose

ii) Aspects of lived experiencing

When the formal analysis is subjected to a penetrating study, the following inferences are drawn regarding the levels of lived **experiencing** in terms of which Sarel constitutes his reading and spelling world.

First, there are clear indications of a pathic mode of lived experiencing. The following responses confirm this: DdW, D, FC-, **CF-**, high **A%** and a loose succession in responding. Kotze⁽¹⁸⁾ notes: a confabulation response (DdW) suggests restraints in cognitive modes of being, such as thinking, remembering, and perceiving; a white-space response (WS, DS) is an indication of opposition and aggression, and, therefore, also reflects lived experiencing on a pathic level; a detail response (D, Dd) suggests grasping the immediate, the simple, the concrete and, therefore, also pathic lived **experiencing**. In addition, Kotze indicates that a color response (FC-, CF-) is an indication that the affective usually is not under intellectual control. In other words, this indicates pathic lability which floods and restrains gnostic-cognitive lived experiencing. Also, concerning the high A% (animal), Kotze notes that it reflects both stereotypic thinking and is a form of perseveration, indications that the pathic cannot be broken through to a more gnosticcognitive level of **lived experiencing**. In addition, a loose succession indicates a fluctuation in attending, and this reflects a pathic involvement with reality.

On the other hand, there also are a great many responses interpreted as gnostic-cognitive in nature, of which W, M and F+, as well as the ambi-equal experience type are examples. Since a whole (W) response reflects systematic thinking, especially when it occurs with high quality form (F+), there are indications that Sarel takes a

gnostic-cognitive attitude (the formal analysis shows a high F% and 7 W responses). Movement (M) responses indicate a preference for thinking over feeling and a creative potential by means of fantasizing. Viewed in this way, an M response also is an indication of **lived experiencing** on a cognitive level.

In summary, it is concluded that Sarel constitutes his reading and spelling world in such a way that there undoubtedly are pathicaffective, and gnostic-cognitive aspects, but, for all of that, he usually is caught in a pathic flooding. The large number of **D** and **Dd** responses indicate that he has difficulty moving to a differentiation on a gnostic-cognitive level, and when he does perceive, since it is on a gnostic and not a cognitive level, he only arrives at an analysis and not a synthesis. It is for this reason that responses are repeatedly given which indicate an unintegrated perceiving. For example, note his responses to Plate IV: "This looks like a pelt or something. This looks like two forelegs. This looks like two hind legs."

With respect to actualizing intelligence, as inferred from this medium, Sarel has at his disposal a fairly good quality of intelligence (compare, e.g., the high F+%, W and M responses), but the high A%, the loose succession and the low P% clearly indicate pathic flooding with a consequent restraint of the gnostic-cognitive resulting in an inadequate actualization of his intelligence.

Regarding his readiness to enter learning (i.e., reading and spelling) relationships with others, from this medium, such readiness seems less positive in nature (especially given the relatively few H, FC+ and P responses, as well as his ambi-equal experience type).

c) Apperceptive media

On these media, which include the **Four Picture Test** of van Lennep, and certain pictures from the **Columbus Series** of Langeveld, Sarel scarcely expresses more than a mere description of each separate picture. In other words, he is not able to take a distanced (cognitive) attitude, but rather **lived experiences** them as immediate and concrete. Gnostic-cognitive moments, such as schematizing, ordering, thinking, imagining, and fantasizing, as a result of his pathic attunement, scarcely materialize. His relationship to things and others is equally pathically colored as seen in his rendering of one of the **Columbus** pictures (picture 8): "The children are busy playing before school. John's gang is busy creating a secret for themselves. Jim sits on a garbage can and Mary and Sally are busy skipping. A young woman looks out at them from behind a window. She looks vicious."

d) Incomplete sentences

This medium also shows a strong pathic involvement with reality. In this regard, compare the following: "I like very sweet ice cream; I feel very tired; I enjoy birthday parties; My greatest worry is my homework; People who don't understand me are dumb." From these sentences, it seems that there are not only pathic ways of learning (reading and spelling) but also that the learning relationships are very pathically colored.

e) Actualizing intelligence

Sarel obtained an IQ of 110 (V = 108, NV = 113) on the **New South African Individual Scale**. The results from this medium provide nearly the same image manifested by him in the other media. In general, this amounts to an image of a pathic attunement. It is important to note that he experienced great problems in succeeding with patterns which had to be constructed with blocks from examples (Subtest 6: Block Design). On this task, he fell back on a trial-and-error approach which, again, indicates that he has difficulty analyzing the global pattern which is a pre-requisite for synthesizing the blocks into a meaningful whole.

f) Media for determining hand and eye dominance

By direct observation, it is ascertained that when Sarel writes, he does so with his left hand but, in contrast to a left-hander, he slants the paper to the left instead of to the right. At the same time, his face is slightly rotated to the right while his head is bent in the direction of his right shoulder.

Since it often happens that a child is right-handed and left-eyed (or the reverse) and since this often can lead to confusions which negatively influence his spelling (writing), it is important to determine which eye is dominant. By means of a simple procedure (the child is asked to hold a tube with both hands and to aim it at an object), it is ascertained that Sarel's right eye is dominant, since that is the eye to which he brought the tube in aiming it. Since he is right-eyed but left-handed, it is not surprising that there is a lateral disturbance.

Summary

Sarel fails in breaking through his pathic **lived experiencing** of reading and spelling to be able to direct himself to the cognitive. Because of his pathic **lived experiencing**, his perceiving is severely restrained. Namely, in perceiving he fails in handling the activity forms of globalizing, analyzing, and synthesizing.

3. Orthodida ctic image as image of defects

in the activity structure of reading and spelling

a) Error analysis

i) Spelling and dictation errors as

manifested in the different media (in Afrikaans)

aa) Problems with open and closed syllables:

Uieraares instead of eienares grooter instead of groter

laste mal instead of laaste maal bome instead of homme

bb) Transposit ions (reversals, etc.)

tergeleerge stel instead of teleurgeste

CC) Confusion of vowels and consonant S

stoud instead of stout hartloop instead of hardloop

brym instead of brein serkel instead of sirkel

selwer instead of silwer

dd) Phonetic spelling

Wieliekie instead of wieletjie oonskynlik instead of oenskynlik

biekie instead of bietje

ee) Elisions

rewower instead of rewolwer plotsel instead of plotseling

medsyne instead of medisyne verening instead of vereniging

ff) Confusion of diphthong S

Uieraares instead of eienares tergeleerge stel instead of

teleurgeste goot instead of goed

naalborsel instead of naelborsel

ii) Reading errors

When Sarel reads, he tries to maintain a good reading

speed at all costs. Consequen tly, anticipatio ns, recognition

errors, additions and omissions of sounds and reversals

are common. For this reason, there is no intellectual control.

aa) The most striking characteris tic of Sarel's

reading is the large number of anticipatio ns such as:

... koeie op 'n styl built instead of ... koeie op 'n streep bulk-bulk.

... staan die melkemme rs onder instead of ... staan die melkers naders.

... kortste van kalwers instead of ... konsert van klanke.

bb) Insertions and elisions

... om hy so instead of ... om dat hy so. ... so kort soos voor instead of

... so kort voor.

cc) Transposit ions

(reversals, etc.)

na instead of aan

kry lekker instead of lekkerkry

dd) Misreading S

hurke instead of kurke dan instead of kan

hoede instead of hoera

iii) Comprehe nsion test

The answers to the Comprehe nsion test brought to

light that when Sarel cannot answer a question by directly reading the

answer from the text, he merely transcribes a part of the text as

his answer; this is an indication of his lack of insight, and his hesitant

attitude toward language. Even when he formulates something

in language for himself, a concretevisual level of thinking

is obvious. Thus, it appears that he cannot analyze the data and,

therefore, is unable to attain the synthesis essential for

formulatin g an answer.

iv) The compositio n

Sarel writes clumsy, simple sentences. They are

poorly formulated and have little connection with each other. The

essay gives the impression of unconnect ed thoughts

which are simply pieced together. For example: "On

September 14 I bought a little mouse for 15 cents. The mouse

was only two inches long with his body and tail. He is much older than

he should be. He is about 8 1/2 inches long including his tail. He

was operated on a year ago."

Finally, in all his

written work his handwritin g is very untidy and hesitant; i.e., words

and letters are continually erased, rubbed out, and written

over-which again indicates his uncertaint y with

language, specifically with spelling.

b) Qualitative

analysis of the basic structures underlying the image of reading and

spelling difficulties

When the basic structures underlying

the above error analyses are looked at, from the beginning,

the acts of reading and spelling seldom are actualized by Sarel on

a noncognitive level (of automaticit **V**). Namely, his

thinking is continually restrained 111 constitutin g the acts of reading

and spelling. In reading, he has difficulty distancing himself

from the word to understan d the thought behind it-and this is

why his answers to the comprehen sion test questions are given

without insightful thought. His dealing with the graphic presents

an image of disorder and a lack of schematizi ng because he is so

clouded cognitively in his involveme nt with spelling that the

necessary schematizi ng, ordering, and synthesizin g usually

are thwarted.

From the above error analysis, it

İS concluded that the harmoniou S relationshi ps among

the activity forms of globalizing , analyzing, and synthesizin g are

disturbed. It seems that Sarel especially stagnates on a global level; that

is, he is unable to adequately carry out a reading and spelling

analysis. This stagnation on a global level results in words

appearing to him in a globaldiffuse way, and this is why his reading

1S SO permeated with anticipatio ns, misreadings, elisions,

insertions, etc. In other words, this is because Sarel only recognizes

some letters or syllables, and from these data he then "guesses" a

word. The large number of elisions in his spelling also attests to his

stagnation on a global level.

As mentioned, the

cognitive actstructure underlying reading and spelling

has not yet made room for a noncognitive actstructure (automatis

m). Reasons for this can be sought in the fact that he uses an

inadequate scheme or system for constitutin g the acts of reading and

spelling. The impression is that Sarel constitutes these acts

according to a patternform (model scheme) which has

not yet broken through to a finely differentiat ed, abstract

system. For example, he has discovered that (in Afrikaans)

the isound, as 111 rewolwer, vereniging, teleurgeste l, is spelled

with an e and, therefore, because of this concrete model

scheme, he also spells sirkel as serkel, and silwer as selwer.

The lack of ordering the system of symbols into regular patterns

also is shown in his tendency to spell phoneticall y. It seems

that he constitutes the act of spelling merely on this hearing.

For example, he has not vet discovered the system (rule) that

a consonant (in Afrikaans) must be doubled if it follows a

long vowel. Thus, in spelling, when he is faced with a problem of this

nature, he falls back on his hearing because, after all, here he

does have a "system" to cling to. Therefore, he spells "groter" with a

double "o" and "hlomme" with one "m".

From the above, it also seems that the connection between sound and

letter symbol is not properly in place. Where one usually

mentions two systems which are combined in such a way that

they function as one, the impression here is that, for Sarel, the

letter system is SO defective that the sound system

dominates almost entirely. No wonder, then, that the acts of reading

and spelling, as languagelearning tasks, direct a negative

appeal to him and that, therefore, he shows a defective intention

to learn. His more than usual surrender to a predomina ntly pathic

attunemen t possibly is a result of this, while this also severally

restrains him in his efforts to adequately master the abstract

system of symbols.

Summary

It seems that we are

dealing with a boy who has great difficulty in breaking through

the pathic mode of lived experienci ng of reading and

spelling to a more gnosticcognitive level, which is a preconditi

on for adequately mastering language. This has the consequen

ce that his world of perceiving, with the required distancing to and

differentiat ion on a cognitive level, does not follow an entirely meaningful

course for him because a fluent handling of the underlying

activity forms of globalizing , analyzing, and synthesizin gis

severely restrained. This is especially reflected in his reading and

spelling, where there are repeated indications of globaldiffuse

perceiving (e.g., the fact that he has problems analyzing sentences

and words). Because of his problem analyzing, synthesizin

g also is problemati c. This entire problem can be traced to

his defective insight into the system of symbols. Δ meaningful

command of reading and spelling occurs by actively assimilatin

g, ordering, and arranging the system of symbols into

regular schemes or patterns; it seems that it is precisely this

insightful arranging or ordering twhich Sarel is unable to

accomplish

The poor constitutio n of the tasks of

reading and spelling do not leave his thinking unharmed.

Because language is not always available to him as a medium for

thinking, it is not surprising that his thinking is chaotic, disordered,

and concrete. In addition, the actualizati on of his

intelligenc e cannot flourish fully.

When there is an

attempt to indicate potential causative factors for Sarel's reading

and spelling problems, possible didactic neglect remains

prominent. It is clear that Sarel's conscious analyses of word structures

is very defective, and this probably started during the beginning

of his instruction in reading and spelling. This agrees with data

acquired from the historicity image.

Other contributin

g factors might be the converging strabismus of his eyes and his

disturbed laterality, especially considerin g the inversions found in

his reading and spelling. However, these factors are very slight

in nature, and it can be accepted that both problems have been,

or are being solved. The fact remains, however, that these

factors still can be contributin g to Sarel's present reading and

spelling problems.

4. Orthopeda gogicorthodidac

tic assistance

At the beginning, it is stated plainly

that orthopeda gogically and orthodidac tically assisting a

child with reading and spelling problems can no more be

separated than can reading and spelling be isolated from his

existence. The primary concern is with correctivel

educating a child as a person, and never only with the reading and

spelling difficulties; therefore, there always should be mention of

orthopeda gogicorthodidac tic assistance.

Regarding the orthopeda gogic aspect of assistance, the

concern is with reeducating the child with reading and

spelling problems. According to Sonnekus, (19)orthopeda

gogic assistance essentially is aimed at the child accepting and

assimilatin g his disturbed reading and spelling world, as

his experientia 1 world. In Sarel's case, the orthopeda gogic

aspect must be aimed at strengtheni ng his feelings of safety and

security, naturally in terms of pedagogica l criteria. Should the orthopeda

gogue succeed in this, the child will show a greater venturing

attitude, by which he can leave behind his being pathically

flonded and push through to placing his reading and spelling

attitudes on a cognitive level. On the other hand, this assistance

also includes reorienting a child's learningdirected intention

so he can responsibl y accept the task. This latter view again points to

the inseparabil ity of the orthopeda gogicorthodidac tic aspects

of assisting, because a languagelearning intention can only

be stimulated with difficulty if one of these two

aspects is missing.

Orthodidac tic assistance means

designing orthopeda gogicallyorthodidac tically founded means that

have to link up directly with the nature of the existing

structural activity forms underlying reading and spelling

with the aim of correcting them so they are didacticall

У

accountabl e.⁽²⁰⁾ In the present case, this amounts to designing means by

which Sarel can move from the global, via analysis, to a synthesis

so that meaningful reading and spelling acts can occur.

However, it needs to be stressed that there can never be a preconceiv

ed recipe for the child to follow, but rather the designed materials

have to be continually changed and modified by the orthopeda

gogue accordingl y as new insights are acquired. Consequen

tly, assisting also deservedly can be considered an

evaluative situation.

The following discussion of the

proposed materials for assisting Sarel should be viewed in

light of the above comments. First, it is recommen ded that words and

sentences be presented visually. Then, the sentences, as

conveyors of thought, have to be broken up **O**r differentiat ed. In

other words, the child has to discover that a sentence is made up of

words having particular relationshi ps with each other. The

orthopedg ogue can proceed as follows:

In clear cursive

script, write a number of short sentences 111 imperative

form on separate cards. The sentences should be composed so that

they correspond exactly with each other except that one or two

words can differ. For example, the following sentences each can

be written on a card:

Plaas die bal op die tafel. (Put

the ball on the table). Plaas die boek op die rak. (Put the

book on the shelf). Plaas die bril op die stoel. (Put the glasses

on the chair).

At a later stage, the verb and preposition

can be changed while the other words in the sentence

remain constant.

Now, one of the cards is given to

Sarel and he is asked to carry out the instruction

. Beforehand

he is told that he will receive the next card only if he correctly carries out

the assignment and that the number of assignment s he can

correctly complete will be kept track of. When completing the

instruction s, he also has to read the sentence aloud. In this playful

way, he is forced to analyze the sentences into words.

In the same way, Sarel can be guided to discover that each letter in a

word has a meaningful and valuable role to play. This can be

done with instruction s on a series of cards such as:

Kyk na die mier. (Look at the ...). Kyk na die dier.

Kyk na die tier.

Not only are the sentences held

constant, but so are the words, except that one letter in one word is

changed. Thus, correctly executing the particular instruction

implies a fine differentiat ion, and in this way the child can

discover that each letter in the whole word is meaningful

When a child makes this much progress in analyzing,

assistance has to be directed to discoverin ga structure to

language; i.e., he has to be guided to discover that letters and groups

of letters follow each other according to certain patterns or systems.

To guide a child to such system forming (discovery) , the

orthopeda gogue can construct words that follow similar spelling

rules for the child to group, order and classify. For example,

to show that (in Afrikaans) the plural form of a word with a closed

syllable undergoes a doubling of the consonant, he can

proceed as follows:

Always with the aim of linking up

with Sarel's experientia l world, the orthopedg ague can question him about

a situation, e.g., in which they are actually going to eat an

apple. Words appropriat ely connected with the progressio

n of eating are written down in singular form, e.g., mes (knife),

skil (peel), stuk (piece), pit (seed), etc. Now when more than one peel

(skille) falls on the table or a number of seeds (pitte) remain at

the end, Sarel is asked to change (in writing) the already written

word to its plural form. (Note that he is not asked to write a

completely new word in its plural form--but to add the necessary

letters to the word already written by the orthopeda gogue).

In this way, he discovers patterns and acquires

practice in synthesizin g. Discoverin g patterns occurs naturally

with the orthopeda gogue's actual help and support. Because

two different handwritin gs are united in one word, the word

written (by them) lends itself to an analysis that is necessary

for an insightful break through to the language structure.

For example, it can be pointed out to Sarel that the word is

monosylla bic, that it has only one vowel and, of importance , that a

short sound is represente d by a single vowel, etc.

By, in this way, gaining insight into the ways in which the living

(spoken), phonetic language is visually represente d, the child's

stagnation on a global level can he eliminated so that his acts of

reading and spelling can be meaningful via the activity

forms of globalizing -analyzingsynthesizin g.

Finally, it needs to be stressed that the orthopeda gogue as a person,

and not just the means of assistance, plays a very important

role in orthopeda gogicorthodidac tic assistance. Forming a

pedagogic encounter is of the greatest importance for a child's

experience of security that, in itself, is a preconditi on for a venturing

attitude toward the acts of reading and spelling. Orthopeda

gogic action that is linked to the child's experientia 1 world leads the

formation of a positive valenceappeal from the reading

and spelling acts that again is a preconditi on for the successful

course of the activity forms⁽²¹⁾.

B) Helen,10 years 4

months, grade 5

1. Historicity image

a) Heterohistoricity

Helen is the youngest child in an

Englishspeaking family and has an older brother who is

sickly and who required a great deal of attention when he

was younger. Her father is a businessm an and her mother

works fulltime as a typist.

The course of Helen's physical

developme nt was normal and she is very healthy. However, it

İS remarkable that her motheris not well informed about her

developme ntal image. Further, Helen seems to be very impudent

and moody. Her parents say they bring up their children

strictly but that Helen does not accept her mother's authority at all.

Thus, the relationshi p between her and her mother is not at all good. Her

mother openly admits that she has never accepted her

daughter because "I and Helen are not tuned into the same wave-

length." She does not understan d Helen and even thinks the

maid knows her better than she does. She has often threatened

to send Helen to a boarding school "just to get her out of my sight."

From the above, it is not at all surprising that regarding

her schoolwork , she will not accept any help from her mother.

Attempts to help usually end in fierce quarrels because

her mother accuses her that she will never be able to take care of herself

because she won't learn, while Helen responds in a

recriminati ng way that her mother can care for her because

she indeed works and has lots of money.

Helen entered

school when she was six years old and, according to her

parents, she was ready for school. Her achieveme nt was

relatively good in grade one but it dropped in grade two. Also, she

could have failed grades three and four but each time she was

given the benefit of the doubt and promoted. She usually experience

s problems with reading and spelling. Her parents

contend that no teacher takes more than a passing interest in

her and she is usually placed at the very back of the classroom.

b) Autohistoricity

During the conversati on, Helen

seemed to be very unfriendly and distant, and she answered

the questions merely with "yes" or "no". Although she later

warmed up and more readily participate d in the conversati on, her

relatively small vocabulary and the great number of stereotypic

expression s (clichés) she used were very conspicuou S.

In addition, it appears that Helen does not like school at all; as

reasons for this she mentioned the fact that she cannot read and

spell and also that her teacher takes no notice of her. Moreover,

going home at noontime is not an acceptable prospect because

there is no one with whom she can chat.

2. Reading and

spelling image as image of livedexperience

a) Guide it

As a rule, Helen's active involveme

nt with this medium showed a mode of being on a pathic level. The

manifestati on of a defective insight regarding the problem, a

stagnation on a trialand-error level and an accompany ing chaotic

work level are a few aspects that point to her inability to distance

herself from the task presented. In addition, it seems that

she was poorly directed to the task. (It also was noted that she is

righthanded and that there are n_{0} noticeable

motor defects).

b) Actualizin g

intelligenc e

Helen obtained an IQ of 96 (V = 92,

NV = 103) on the New South African Individual Scale. She was poorly

directed to the different tasks and consequent ly her work tempo was

slow. A preponder antly pathic way of learning was observable

on almost all subtest items, but this was most clearly evident on

those items requiring the use of language as a medium for

thinking and for formulatin gan answer. For example,

on the Comprehe nsion items she seldom gave answers when

experienci ng is on a cognitive level. Very quickly, Helen's responses

indicated pathic flooding, which often is evidence of a deficient

distancing between the concept and a particular experience

In this connection , compare the answer to the question "Why

should you stay at home when you've got an infectious

disease?": "Because it's nice when mummy looks after you."

c) The Rorschach inkblot medium

1) Formal analysis

6 responses in 17 minutes

W = 6(2+) **F** = 6 (2+) A = 5 P = 2 Obj = 1

Although Helen actually gave 30 responses in 17 minutes,

only 6 were remotely scoreable. It is clear that an analysis

based on so few responses cannot be reliable;the refore the above has

to suffice. Illuminatin g, however, is her way of responding to the

inkblots, especially regarding the 24 unscoreabl e answers. Thelatter

are reducible to the following three similar sounding

sentences which were given to each of the plates with monotono US

regularity (with the exception of Plates I and IV--the latter was refused):

"It's got a nice pattern. It's got a nice color. It's got a

nice shape."

2) Aspects of livedexperienci ng

Δ fathoming of the above brings to light

enough evidence to assert that Helen's way of being (learning)

primarily occurs on a pathic level which, naturally, restrains

the gnosticcognitive. The unscoreabl 6 perseverati

ons point to the fact that she construes the plates as surface configurati

ons (Kijm); that is, they are experience d as a naive sensing-

for-me and a breakthrou gh to a distanced cognitive way of

being cannot occur. The refusal of Plate VII is viewed in the same

light. From the preceding, it is not at all surprising that all 6

scoreable responses are whole responses (W) because of a deficient

analyzing and synthesizin g as abstracting consequent

ly, at most the plates appear to her as globaldiffuse.

d) Appercepti ve media

It is conspicuou s that as

often as the opportunit V presented itself, Helen saw

and experience d another person as the point of concern in her

world; this appeared as a doubling of self-inaffect (projection

) during which she showed her negative learning relationshi

ps with her mother and teacher as well as a predomina ntly pathic

mode of being (learning). The latter 1S especially viewed in

the light of her inability to break through this learning

world to a distanced (cognitive) position of giving and experienci ng

meaning. In this connection , her rendition of the Violin

picture (T. A. T., 1) is a good example: "He is sitting down and

is thinking what he shall do. He should go and ride his horse. He finished

all his homework. He is not happy at school. He is sad because his

teacher was hitting him. He told his mother about his sadness.

She wasn't very sorry for him. So he played in the sand

all by himself."

e) Incomplet e sentences

Conspicuo usly, the majority of sentences were completed

with a few words and often they were meaningles s. Thus, there is

evidence of a hesitant relationshi p with language but also she cannot

formulate the meaning of the words. The latter possibly is attributabl

e either to deficient reading (she incorrectly reads the words/sent

ences) or to a qualitativel y poor understan ding of language

which, in part, leads hack to deficient reading. The following

sentences illustrate the above:

I feel it. When I was

younger meat. (Has she perhaps misread "hunger" instead of

"younger" -- hence the response "meat"?). Dating dress.

Sometime s to do. The only trouble is nice.

In addition, a stereotypic , clichéridden use of language is

evident which points to a hesitant involveme nt with language

and a poor vocabulary

My father is nice.

Dancing is nice. The future is nice.

f) Media for determini ng hand and eye dominance

By using simple media and direct observatio n, it was established

that Helen is righthanded and righteyed.

g) Media for evaluating motor coordinati on, form perception

and spatial orientatio n

On the basis of media such

as the alabaster board, the Ellis Visual Design and the Vedder Figures

and Form board, it was established that Helen shows no noticeable

deficiencie s with respect to the above.

3. Orthodida

ctic image as image of defects in the activity structures of reading

and spelling

a) Error analysis

i) Spelling and dictation errors as manifested in

different media:

aa) Phonetic spelling

notie instead of naughty nubul instead of nibble

ceaten instead of kitten finch instead of finish

a loud instead of allowed roat instead of rolled

bb) Confusions of vowels and consonant S

n/m: sunpil instead of simple f/b: fussufes

instead of bus service frak instead of brake

s/sh: suun instead of shine sam instead of shame

ch/th: nuching instead of nothing n/th: nen instead of then

p/b: parth instead of bath g/c: got instead of cot

c/g: came instead of game

cc) Transposit ions

(reversals, etc.)

Yolle instead of yellow

Rodeb instead of Robert homerwick instead of homework

surte instead of strate (Afrikaans)

dd) Meaningle

ss spellings

curelke instead of quickly

suun instead of shine oup instead of up

ctat instead of so that icgs instead of eyes

blowp instead of blue

ee) It is striking that a

great number of words were written (spelled) inconsiste ntly:

nuvin/nuc hing instead of nothing tefone/tulf on instead

of telephone reaseva/re seve instead of receive

cuelke/quk ul instead of quickly sventie/sev etey instead of seventy

fussufes/h us suves instead of bus service yolle/yollu instead of yellow

ii) Reading errors

Where during the

spelling and dictation tasks Helen could "hide" her deficiencie

s by constantly keeping her written work covered with her

hand, during reading, precisely where her shortcomin gs are

strongly experience d, she tried to evade the task with such remarks as,

"I would rather recite you a poem 2"

It is remarkable that Helen reads word-forword and consequent

ly at a slow reading rate. However, misreadings are

common and show no attempt at intellectual control. Therefore,

reading with insight is out of the question. She showed the

following types of reading errors:

aa) Misreading S

stable instead of saddle

slips instead of stirrups full rows instead of fowl runs

chickens instead of children first instead of fast

plad bed instead of pleaded

bb) Elisions

greed instead of greedy chick instead of chicken

iii) Transcript ion

Slight errors such as elisions

and insertions occurred (fow and.fowle instead of fowl), but

even more remarkable is her poor intentional directedne ss as shown,

e.g., by omitting punctuatio n marks such as commas, periods

and even capital letters and also by not crossing t's (lo instead of to) or

by crossing the wrong letters (telluce instead of lettuce).

iv) The compositio n

In carefully reading the compositio

n, the content comes across as almost entirely meaningles

s. This is a result of frequent senseless spelling as well as the complete

omission of any form of punctuatio n. The following is an example

of such a sentence, and since it is not an extreme example, possibly it

can be understoo d that the ahove remarks are not too severe:

nen we hato holum with a rag ctat the sampo werd

mot get in his icgs his nyme is micie With Helen's help, this

was later deciphered as: Then we had to hold him

with a rag so that the shampoo would not get in his eyes. His name is

Mickey.]

v) Comprehe nsion test

A simple eight item (four directed to content and the rest

requiring insight) comprehen sion test was given to Helen to complete.

In light of the data from the media already mentioned, her

achieveme nt on this medium was rather predictable : Only those

questions whose answers are directly available in the

reading material were answered and only with a few words.

The rest of the questions were merely omitted.

Thus, it seems that as a result of restricted language (reading

and spelling), she has difficulty abandonin ga concrete-

visual level of thinking. Therefore, there is scarcely mention of

the insightful because for this it is necessary **t**O transcend

the word to the thought which, in itself, is a mode of learning on

a cognitive level.

b) Qualitative analysis of the basic

structures underlying the image of reading and spelling difficulties

The deficient insight into language structure implies

that there is a gap in the totality-act of globalizing -analyzing-

synthesizin g. Helen shows conspicuou s problems with analyzing

which, in its turn, does not leave the act of synthesizin

g untouched.

If the question of the etiology of

the above is posed, it first has to be frankly stated that this does not involve

tabulating a list of causative factors which ultimately results in

an integrated totalityimage, but rather that it involves a search

for the essentials of the child's learning world.(22)If,

however, only the erroranalyses are considered , then one

İS condemne d to certain possible causes that have to be

briefly taken into account. For example, as a consequen

ce of symbol confusion and meaningles s spelling, one can be

led to consider nothing more than a possible hearing loss or

even acoustic agnosia. Similarly, an optical, perceptual disturbanc

e or also optical agnosia are possibilitie s suggested by the large

number of misreading s and reversals.

However, both of

these hypotheses are rejected. The first is rejected because

Helen does succeed in spelling some of the words phoneticall y. The

second is rejected because her misreadings give the impression

that she is able to distinguish each separate letter and that she

misreads only because she is not able to construct a word from

the separate phonemes. The relatively good transcripti

on results also contribute **TO** undermini ng these

hypotheses

In a search for the basic structures

underlying the above erroranalyses, it is accepted with great confidence

that for Helen the soundlanguage symbol connection has

assumed an unsteady form (compare neglected symbols,

reversals and the meaningles S spellings). In other words, for

her, language has taken the form of symbols in such a modest

way that the acts of reading and spelling are not controlled

as automatis ms. Thus these acts remain a matter for her of

continually constitutin g them cognitively (i.e., explicitly and not

automatica lly). Now, if Helen had at her disposal an etiquette schematic

principle of ordering, these acts would have been in order, but

because of her deficient insight into the language structure,

at most, she is relegated to a model schematic, concrete system. In

other words, she engages in the reading and spelling acts

according to what is immediatel y present (i.e., the sound symbol in

the case of spelling and the global word in the case of reading).

The result of this is distressing because the English language does not

lend itself to phonetic spelling or pronunciat ion and because Helen is

simply uncertain about the relationshi p between sound and language

symbol. This is why her attempts at reading and spelling

are so frequently meaningles

S.

It is not at all

surprising that her productive use of language (e.g., the compositio

n) is very weak because here the sounds, that serve as her

foothold, is now absent. Consequen tly, she is dependent mainly on

her memory of language that also functions defectively because

the language symbol is hardly understoo d as a sign or idea.

Thus, the above orthopeda gogicorthodidac tic

evaluation reveals that an essential aspect of Helen's learning

world, as experientia l world, is a blockage in her pathic experienci

ng. Learning on a more gnosticcognitive level, therefore,

is hardly actualized. In view of her mother's nonacceptance

of her, which necessarily results in her experienci ng

insecurity, it is entirely understan dable that the cognitive is

hardly mentioned; experienci ng security not only is a guarantee

for child exploration , it is also the preconditi on for distancing

to a more cognitive level. Without an affective readiness to

participate, the cognitive often cannot be constituted

Since both learning to read and write (spell) make yet a

greater appeal to the child's feeling secure⁽²³⁾, it follows that Helen

will experience almost insurmoun table problems with these

acts. This greater appeal is because hoth acts need to be constituted

in the absence of a reading and writing (spelling) partner; in

addition, there is mention of leaps of discovery⁽² ⁴⁾ require a cognitive

level of constitutio n-especially regarding the qualitative

activity forms of globalizing , analyzing and synthesizin It is not g.

at all surprising that the orthopeda gogicorthodidac tic

evaluation made it evident that there is a weak directedne

SS

observable in everything (compare the intelligenc e medium

and Guide It) and that the erroranalyses especially gave a definite

indication of a weak intentional directedne ss to learning (compare

the phonetic spelling, spelling words in more than one way,

the scanty use of punctuatio n, etc.).

Because of their close

connection with language, her thinking, actualizing intelligenc

e and perceiving are restrained. Regarding her perceiving,

it is clear that Helen does not stagnate on a global level only with

respect to reading and spelling but this stagnation is also

noticeable in her responses to the Rorschach inkblots

(see above).

The deficient reading and

spelling acts have the inevitable consequen ce that Helen is

unable to adequately grasp of the learning content, and this

also results 11 continual clashes with her teacher. The

formation of a negative valence is noticeable over the entire

range of learning and not just with respect to reading

and spelling.

Thus, we are dealing with a girl whose

pathically flooded experientia 1 world is both the origin and the result

of her confused learning relationshi ps that do not leave the

different modes of learning unscathed. The fact that Helen has trouble

at home and at school makes the claim that she is in a situation of

existential distress not at all farfetched, and she is in urgent

need of help and support.

4. Orthopeda gogic-

orthodidac tic assistance

In Chapter IV and also in the

discussion of assisting Sarel it was repeatedly emphasize d that assisting a

child with reading and spelling problems is never reducible

to merely correcting these problems but that such assistance

has an orthopeda gogic and orthodidac tic aspect which, at most, are

distinguish able and not separable. This truth is well illustrated

by Helen's case and should this assistance remain limited to "applying"

specialized , corrective didactic means, it can be anticipated that such

interventio n will be doomed to fail. This is because Helen not only shows

an inability regarding reading and spelling but she also

manifests a disturbed experientia 1 world. If this fact is disregarde d, then in

giving assistance, the orthopeda gogue, in fact, is engaged in

treating symptoms.

Regarding Helen, this means that the

orthopeda gogue first has to enter her experientia 1 world and allow his

assistance to link up with it. In truth, the entire matter is characteriz

ed as supporting her to reexperience the pathicaffective (i.e., the

orthopeda gogic) as well as the gnosticcognitive (i.e., the orthodidac

tic).⁽²⁵⁾ The orthopeda gogic aspect involves assisting

and guiding her to a readjustme nt concerning herself, her

own situation, her own experience s; briefly, she has to be guided

to experience reality differently so she can become different.⁽²⁶

For this) to occur, it **i**S imperative that she be accepted and

underston d as she is. This implies establishin g an encounter,

taking her familiar experientia 1 world as the point of departure,

assuming an optimistic work attitude, etc. Even so, these

means will have little chance of success if the parents and teacher do

not reorient themselves with respect to Helen. Especially,

if her mother does not come to accept and understan d her, it is

firmly stated that any attempt at helping her is

doomed to fail.

To the extent that Helen receives

assistance and guidance to experience security, she will

become affectively ready to participate gnosticallycognitively and to that

degree she can be guided to a gnosticcognitive reexperienci

ng. This means that reality has to be unlocked once more, she has to

experience it again cognitively and become knowingly involved

with it to insure her grasp of the particular slice of

reality at issue.⁽²⁷⁾

Helen's slippery grasp of the

language structure compels the orthopeda gogue to systematic

ally uncover from a meaningful situation a basic vocabulary

for her to discover and build. This means that she has to reexperience

the word and its meaning cognitively in a meaningful situation

because only then will she be able to remember such a word (28)

Thus, certain situations are constituted during which the

written image of a word is placed at her disposal. However, it

has to be stressed that, for obvious reasons, the presented

word has to be paired each time with its pronunciat ion given

aloud. Furthermo re, the words have to be such that they serve as

analogies in terms of which she can infer the ways of spelling other

words. The reader's attention is called to the fact that in this

orthodidac tic assistance, reading and spelling are not

separated because, although initially she only reads and listens to

its pronunciat ion, later she is expected to write the

word down.

After Helen readily knows a number of

words there can be a transition to letting her discover

that certain sounds are symbolized by certain letters. In this way

there is an attempt to guide her to an anological understan ding as

well as to an analysis. Because analyzing and synthesizin

g go hand in hand, synthesizin g is also included here. Changing a

word from the singular to the plural form will serve as a good place

to start: While viewing a single rose, the word "rose" is written

down. After a number of roses are shown to her, the ending "s"

is affixed to the already written word. If the roses are again

reduced to one, the "s" is erased. On the basis of a number of

examples, she should be able to independe ntly form the plural of such

words as hose, nose, etc.

In the above ways,

Helen is forced to see the letters in the word being considered

; that is the first step is taken in the direction of analysis. Subsequen

tly, she can he confronted with a series of brief assignment

S (instructio ns) where only a letter or a syllable is varied (see

the assistance for Sarel). In Helen's case it might even be a good

idea to further simplify the instruction s by underlinin

g, stressing or even outlining the changing letter or syllable;

e.g., ma'tch, scra'tch, wi'tch.

With these and many

other variations a system is placed at her disposal by which she

can penetrate to a proper command of the language structure.

In this regard, the importance of the learning discussion cannot be

emphasize d enough because a child is not likely to acquire a deeper

insight into and perspective on her problem alone (in the sense

of discoverin g for herself); the discussion also is a

means to cognitively **CO**experience the acts of reading

and spelling.

4. Conclusion

Finally, it is clearly stated that not one of the programs of

assistance described can in any sense make the claim of completen

ess. Rather, these should be viewed as a few aspects of such

programs from which the interested reader can get a notion of

the procedures that are applied.

Further, assisting

children with reading and spelling difficulties responsibl

v in orthopeda gogicorthodidac tic ways can only take place

meaningful ly after an image is obtained of the child's reading and

spelling world, as experientia l world, and after a qualitative analysis is

made of the structures which underlie her reading

and spelling problems. Thus, orthopeda gogicorthodidac

tic assistance embraces much more than "Remedial Teaching"

where reading and spelling errors are treated only in

terms of an analysis of errors.

REFERENC ES

1. Van Gelder, L.: Een orientatie in de orthopeda

gogiek, J. B.

Wolters, Groningen, 1962, p. 10.

2. Stander, G.: Inleiding tot die taalpsigolo gie, UUB,

Stellenbosc h, 1967, pp. 82-92. 3. Kwant, R. C.: Fenomenol

ogie van de taal, Aula-Boeken,

Utrecht, 1960.

4. Van der Stoep, F.: Taalanalise en taalevaluering

as pedagogies

didaktiese diagnostise ringsmetod e,

H.A.U.M., Pretoria, 1965, p. 17. 5. Pos, H. I.: Het affect en

Zijn uitdrukkin g in de taal, Verspr.

Geschr.

6. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: Die leerwereld van die kind as

belewenisw ereld, UUB, Stellenbosc h, 1968, p. 22.

7. Van der Stoep, F.: op. cit., p. 18. 8. Nel, B. F.: Taal as geestlike

aangeleent heid en die verhoudin g van denke tot taal,

Paedagogis che Studien, Vol, 42, No, 1. **U** Sonnekus,

M. C. H.: Die problemati ek van lees- en spel-

ontsporing e by die skoolgaand e kind: 'n taalfenome nologiese

studie op pedagogies 6 grondslag, presented

before the South

African Academy for Science and Arts,

Strellenbos ch, 1966, p. 335. 10. Kotze, J. M. å.: Die

leerwereld van die breinbeska digde kind as

belewenisw

ereld, Unpublishe d D. Ed. Disseration , UP,

1968, p. 214.11. Sonneklus, M. C. H.: Die

leerwereld van die kind as

belewenisw ereld, op. cit., p. 78.

12. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: Die problemati ek van

lees- en spel-

ontsporing e by die skoolgaand

e kind, op. cit., p. 334. 13. Stander, G.: op. cit., p. 99.

14. For the concept "activity structure" see:

(i) Van Parreren, C. F.: Psychologi e van het leren,

Deel I en II , W. de Haan, Zeist, 1960 and 1962.

(ii) Nel, B. F.; Sonnekus, M. . H, and Garbers, J. G.:

Grondslae van die psigologie, Deel II. 15. See: Van

Parreren, C. F., op, cit. 16. Louw, F. J. J.: Lees- en spel-

ontsporing e by die laerskoolki nd:

'n ortopedago giese taal

diagnostise ringsonder soek,

Unpublishe d M. Ed. Thesis,

Stellenbosc h, 1967, p. 88. 17. Van der Stoep, F. Taalevalue

ring as pedagogies e

diagnostise ringsmetod e,

Opvoedku nde Studies,

Special Issue, p. 107.

18. Kotze, J. M. A.: op. cit., p. 234.19. Sonnekus, M. C. H.:

Die vraagstuk van "Remedier ende"

onderwys

as ortopedago giese aangeleent heid, Suid-

Afrikaanse

Tydskrif vir die Pedagogiek , Vol. 3, No. 1,

July 1969, p. 40. 2(). Sonnekus, M. C. H.: Ibid., p. 41.

21. Louw, F. J. J.: op. cit., p. 90. 22. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: Die

vraagstuk van "Remedier ende"

onderwys as

ortopedago giese aangeleetn heid, op. cit., p. 37. 23. Vliegentha

rt, W. E.: Op gespannen voet, J. B. Wolters,

Groningen,

1958, p. 49. 24. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: Die problemati

ek van die lees- en spel-

ontsporing e by die skoolgaand

ekind, op. cit., p. 335. 25. Pretorius, J. W. M.: Belewing as moment in

die leefwereld van die kind: 'n Psigologies

pedagogies e studie, Unpublishe d

Ed. Dissertatio

n, UP, p. 140.26. Joubert, C. Beroepskeu se as

verantwoor delike en

verantwoor dbare keuse: 'n Studie in

beroepsori entering,

H.A.U.M., Pretoria, 1964, p. 163.

27. Pretorius, J. W. M.: op. ccit., p. 140.28. Gouws, S.

J. L.: Pedagogies 6 diagnostise ring van kinders

met leermoeilik hede, H.A.U.M., Cape Town,

1966, p. 83. 29. Stander, G. and Sonnekus, M. C. H.:

Inleiding tot die

ortopedago giek, UUB, Stellenbosc

h, 1967, p. 121.