1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this article is to show how thinking about the educative reality has progressed from 1969 to 1987. The following will be indicated:

1.1 How more essences and structures of the pedagogic situation gradually were noticed by continually asking relevant questions in order to then seek answers that are true to reality.

1.2 Fundamental Pedagogics qualifies as a philosophical discipline and therefore inquires about possible preconditions. Since educating is an exclusively human event there is an inquiry into the anthropological preconditions for it to occur, but then an anthropology that has ontological status.

1.3 The concepts “categories” and “criteria” especially have been prominent in the literature since 1968 but sufficiently clear formulations and explications were absent. Purposive work was directed to this and now these two concepts function effectively in pedagogic thinking.

1.4 A meaningful development of phenomenology had occurred because there was a movement from a merely phenomenological approach to a phenomenological method with clearly functional steps of particular significance for designing research programs and having a satisfactory placement within a philosophy of life framework.

2. THE PEDAGOGIC SITUATION

Since 1969 the following essences have been disclosed:

2.1 The relationships as structural preconditions for educating are the following:

Trust
Authority
Knowing.

2.2 The sequence of the educative event is seen as follows:

Association
Encounter
Intervening
Agreeing
Return to association.

2.3 The educative aim is described as adulthood and the following characteristics were noted (1969):

2.3.1 Meaningfulness of existence
2.3.2 Self-judgment and self-understanding
2.3.3 Human dignity
2.3.4 Morally-independent choosing and responsible acting
2.3.5 Norm identification
2.3.6 Philosophy of life.
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3. 1969. THE FIRST QUESTIONS ARISE REGARDING THE PEDAGOGIC SITUATION

3.1 Relationships as structural preconditions. Can this matter be expressed more simply?

3.1.1 Trust
3.1.2 Authority
3.1.3 Knowing
Can these labels be sharpened? Is this only a cognitive matter or also affective and normative?

3.2 Sequence of the event. Is a uniform expression possible?

3.2.1 Association: is this a proceeding to educating or is it a proceeding to purposive educating?
3.2.2 Encounter
3.2.3 Intervening Are these two essences part of a common structure?
3.2.4 Agreeing
3.2.5 Return to association
3.2.6 Is there not an additional sequence possible since educators and educands do not continually interact in each others presence?

3.3 Aim
Adulthood. Is there mention of a structure?

4. THE FIRST ANSWERS
Regarding the pedagogic situation:

4.1 Relationship structure/relationship structures
   The labels:

4.1.1 Relationship of trust
4.1.2 Relationship of authority
4.1.3 Relationship of understanding--is a valid sharpening of knowing. (Understanding is more than a cognitive knowing).

4.2 Sequence structure/sequence structures

4.2.1 Association (relationship of association)
   This is already educating and serves as a precondition for the possibility of purposive educating.

4.2.2 Encounter (relationship of encounter)
The reason for the appearance of progress in educating in the form of:

4.2.3 Pedagogic intervention
4.2.3.1 Intervening
4.2.3.2 Agreeing, that can be followed by:

4.2.4 Periodic breaking away
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5. SECOND ROUND OF QUESTIONS

RELATIONSHIP- SEQUENCE- AIM-STRUCTURE/
STRUCTURES STRUCTURE AIM-STRUCTURES

The following question appears in the educative reality:
Are adults and children not active together?

With respect to the transition between encounter and intervention the following question must be asked:
Is the transition from encounter to intervention automatic (process-like) or does it require a choice?

The educator must make a severe choice and therefore there is the insertion of
Engagement (assuming responsibility for intervening), as a sequence structure after encounter, is needed.

6. SECOND ROUND OF ANSWERS

RELATIONSHIP- SEQUENCE- ACTIVITY- AIM-
STRUCTURES STRUCTURES STRUCTURES STRUCTURES

Which activity structures are seen?

6.1 BEING IN A MEANINGFUL WORLD

.1 giving meaning on one's own responsibility
movement as a breaking away from lack of exertion
normative embodiment

BEING-WITH
Venturing-with-each-other
gratitude-for-security
accountability-for-relationships

TEMPORALITY
Hope-for-the-future
Design-of-possibilities
Fulfillment-of-destination

BEING-SOMEONE-HIMSELF
Regard-for-human-dignity
Task-of-understanding-oneself
Freedom-to-responsibility

The labels do no reflect the pedagogic.
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7. Answers

ACTIVITY STRUCTURE/STRUCTURES subsequently were labeled to reflect their pedagogic nature.
7.9 gradual-fulfillment-of-destination
7.10 increasing-respect-for-human-dignity
7.11 adulthood-through-increasing-self-understanding
7.12 acquisition-of-responsible-freedom.

Does philosophy-of-life content possibly enliven (vitalize) these activities and does a philosophy-of-life not possibly have structural status (1979)?
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8. Answer to the question of the structural status of a philosophy of life

A structure of a philosophy of life can be seen and constituted. The following philosophy-of-life-essences come to light:

8.1 Particularity
8.2 Sets demands
8.3 Historicity
8.4 Non-hereditary
8.5 Constancy
8.6 Ideality
8.7 Meta-scientific

with particular content given from a specific philosophy of life.
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9. OBJECTIONS RAISED BY SOME PEDAGOGICIANS

9.1 Essences of essences
Objection
Essences of essences are not possible because an essence is an irreducible reality (onticity).

Reply
i) at face value this appears to be a valid objection
ii) an essence is examined repeatedly phenomenologically and its essences are brought to light.

9.2 Essence disclosure

Objection
Essence disclosing thought is a form of atomism.

Reply
Atomism is definitely ruled out when the following are emphasized
i) relationships (from start to finish)
ii) structures
iii) co-essentiality
iv) co-existentiality, and that
v) essences are ways of living.
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10. ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS 1977/1979

10.1 In what ways are fundamental pedagogic essences practiced in the classroom?

10.1.11977 response
The triadic way, with the essences of the course of a lesson as second possibility.
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10.1.2 1978 response
Whenever relationships are actualized with
  i) the modes of learning
  ii) reality relationships.

10.2 Educative teaching is now viewed as the joint actualization of
- fundamental pedagogic essences
- essences of the lesson sequence
- essences of the modes of learning

with a particular effect, namely an elevation in level of
relationships with reality in the direction of proper
adulthood.
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11. AN ADDITIONAL QUESTION 1981/1982
Can the way of actualizing fundamental pedagogic essences in the
classroom be amplified yet further?

11.1 First reply
It occurs whenever giving a lesson is viewed as the joint actualization of

11.1.1 fundamental pedagogic essences
11.1.2 essences of the sequence of a lesson
11.1.3 essences of the modes of learning
11.1.4 subject content essences

within the field illuminated by school essences.
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11.2 Second reply
By describing how ways of being human can be promoted during giving a lesson.
For example: being-with, emotional involvement, reflection, time awareness, esteem, responsibility, questioning-being-in-the-world, ontological life and phenomenological life.
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12. YET FURTHER QUESTIONS 1985/1986

.1 How can fundamental pedagogics make a contribution to curriculum studies?

Reply
This can occur by throwing light on:

i) the relation between the phenomenological method and curriculum studies
ii) pronouncements about curriculum forming
iii) curriculum studies in the illuminating field of cultural essences
iv) curriculum studies and pedagogic essences.
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.2 What particular contributions can Fundamental Pedagogics make to the protection of child dignity?

Reply
This can occur by a fundamental pedagogic analysis of the rights of the child, the parent and the teacher.
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13. 1987

13.1 Problem

With the present expansion of the activities of the Faculty of Education’s fields of interest are they still sufficient regarding the following equation?

EDUCATING = THE PEDAGOGIC

OR

Must there now be a move to

EDUCATING = THE PEDAGOGIC + THE ANDRAGOGIC?

13.2 Reply

EDUCATING = THE PEDAGOGIC + THE ANDRAGOGIC

14. GROUNDING

.1 Grounding before 1969

Grounding of the pedagogic in a philosophical anthropology that has its roots in ontology is acceptable because

i) educating only is an anthropological event
ii) educating is a mode of being (way of being)
iii) the pedagogic refers in undeniable ways to the anthropological
iv) an ontology of the human being is reflecting on being human by using authentic anthropological categories
v) the primordial facts of openness to being and being human as being-in-the-world radically distinguish being human from non-human beings
vi) ontological categories are, among others, becoming, freedom, person, existence, ethnicity, self-
consciousness, awareness of propriety, responsibility, temporality, and world

vii) the grounding pedagogical question is the question of ontological-anthropological grounds.

.2 First question regarding grounding 1969/1973

What are the precise relations among and meanings of ontological-anthropological-pedagogical?

Reply

i) ontology refers to the real essentials of beings (all that are)

ii) ontological-anthropological (or ontology anthropology) = a human science directed to the real essentials of human being-in-the-world

iii) anthropological refers to the real essentials of being human

iv) anthropological-pedagogical (or anthropologic pedagogic pedagogics) (a permissible tautology) = emphasizes the specific humanness of educating, or that educating is only a human matter.

* ii) + iv) educating is a specific human way of being-in-the-world.

.3 Second question regarding grounding

What kind of grounding relation is there among the ontological, the anthropological and the pedagogical?

Reply

i) the ontological determines the anthropological (that anthropology that involves itself with the real essentials of being human has ontological status)

ii) the anthropological determines the pedagogical (that pedagogics that involves itself with educating only as a human matter has anthropological status)
iii) the ontological determines the pedagogical (that pedagogics that involves itself with the real essentials of educating has ontological status)

iv) understanding the ontological-anthropological-pedagogical is clearest phenomenologically.

.4 Third question regarding grounding

What are the precise relations among the ontological categories, anthropological categories and pedagogical categories?

Reply

i) Being-in-the-world is the original characteristic of being a person and refers to the totality of relations designed by him in his life world or: Being-in-the-world is the general precondition for all of the ways of being human to be possible.

Therefore being-in-the-world is the first category of reality or fundamental category or ontological category. There is only one ontological category that makes it possible for anthropological and pedagogical categories to have ontological status.

ii) answering the following questions indicate the relation:
   a) On what basis are the ways of being human possible that can be used as anthropological categories?

      Reply
      On the basis of a person’s being-in-the-world.

      b) On what basis are the educative activities possible that can be used as pedagogical categories?

      Reply
On the basis of a person’s authentic human ways of being-in-the-world.
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.5 Fourth question

What is the precise meaning of the concept category?

Before 1969 the answer is as follows:

It is the expression, predication, truism, enunciation, designation that discloses the essences of a matter. Pedagogical categories express the pedagogic in its primordial phenomenality.

After 1969 the answer is as follows:

i) in order to bring essences to light and to put them into words, one needs illumination

ii) essences are thinkingly (by reflecting) brought to light and put into words and therefore illumination for thinking is needed, or more clearly stated: illuminative means of thinking (means for thinking regarding the task of illuminating and putting into words)

iii) an etymological analysis provides further light:

Greek: kategoria = to ground fundamentally
kata = something from top to bottom, thus to look at something
agora = the public
agreuin = to speak publicly
kategorein = a look at something to make
it public and show itself.
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.6 Fifth question

What is the precise relation between categories and criteria?

Possible answers until 1968 are

11.2.1 The problems of designing categories and criteria are not the same.
11.2.2 They are in no way strange to each other or contradict each other but rather they assume and complement each other.
11.2.3 Designing categories is a matter of reflecting, nothing more.
11.2.4 Designing criteria also is a matter of reflecting.
11.2.5 Designing categories occurs with the aim of bringing truisms to light that can express the pedagogic in its essentiality.
11.2.6 Designing criteria occurs with the aim of valuating in the form of judging the actual event in the concrete situation.
11.2.7 Categories have to do with the clearer appearance of the pedagogic as such.
11.2.8 Criteria have to do with pedagogic permissibility or non-permissibility.
11.2.9 Both categories and criteria lie rooted in the ontic structuredness of the pedagogic itself and thus are onticities that can and must be ontologically accountable.

15. FURTHER QUESTIONS ABOUT THE RELATION CATEGORIES/CRITERIA (AFTER 1969)
.1 What message is expressed from the following resemblances between categories and criteria?

.1.1 They assume each other
.1.2 Both appear because of reflection
.1.3 Both are onticities that can be ontologically elucidated.

Conclusion

The possibility is strong that categories and criteria are two sides of the same matter. These thoughts are confirmed by similar sounding terms

- normativity and norm centricity
- secure space and successfulness of affective security
- freedom to responsibility and conquering freedom
- normativity and ought-to-be
- normativity and validity of the demands of propriety.

.2 What message is expressed in the difference in their aims?

Reply

That, if they are two sides of the same matter, each requires a differ way of formulation.

Explanation

i) Formulate a category in the form of a definition
ii) Rewrite the category definition in the form of a question (ask categorically founded questions

15
about the quality of actualizing the pedagogic in real situations).
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16. FROM A PHENOMENOLOGICAL APPROACH TO A PHENOMENOLOGICAL METHOD TO A PHENOMENOLOGICALLY GROUNDED RESEARCH PROGRAM—TO 1968

.1 Phenomenology is defined as an attunement of a subject with the express purpose of knowing and understanding what is in consciousness in its essence.

.2 A phenomenological knowing relationship, way of approach, attunement is a critical responsibility in practicing a science.

.3 Phenomenology is a philosophical movement (attunement) that has led to a shift in accent in practicing science in favor of essences.

.4 Two types of reduction are essential events in the Husserlian attunement, namely, the phenomenological and the eidetic reduction.

.5 It remains the special merit of phenomenologically grounded attunement that it continually calls sciences back to essences.

.6 If we will understand something of the paedagogica perennis this must occur on the foundation of the phenomenologically attuned approach.

.7 The scientist must know what a phenomenological attunement, as approach, means.

.8 Phenomenology is a fundamental attitude about seeing and listening to what the phenomena themselves will allow to become known regarding their essences.

.9 The phenomenological way of seeing is in sharp contrast to natural science phenomenalism.
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17. FIRST QUESTION

Do attunement, way of approach, philosophical movement, attunement of approach, fundamental attitude, way of being refer to methods or to preconditions for the possibility of designing a method?

Since method = meta + hodos = way along which that refers to a systematic procedure that the researcher follows, THE SECOND POSSIBILITY IS CHOSEN.

The scientific TASK is to design a phenomenological method (procedure) 1969 (S. J. Gous’ contribution).

Seven steps are indicated:

.1 Intuitive, reflective view of particular phenomena
.2 Place obfuscations between brackets
.3 Examine various perspectives
.4 Separate the essentials from the non-essentials
.5 Design essential characteristics into ideas
.6 Reflect on affinities and relations among essential characteristics
.7 Interpret the meaningfulness of the essential characteristics.

18. QUESTION REGARDING THE STEPS

Are the steps adequately operationalized to apply as systematic procedures?

.1 1975 explication in the form of questions

.1.1 Are the steps clear enough to qualify phenomenology as a science of essences?
1.2 Do these steps give a clear grasp of essences?
1.3 Do these steps bring about an adequate encounter with essences?
1.4 Do these steps qualify sufficiently as essence-research?
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2 1977 explication in the form of questions

2.1 Are the steps operationalized enough to qualify as steps of a thinking search for that which makes the educative reality as it is and not something else?
2.2 Can the steps (as formulated) bring about a conquering of essence blindness?
2.3 Do the steps fulfill the affective pressure of a philosophy of life examination of the thinking activities?
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19. RESPONSES

1 The phenomenological aim first must be elucidated:

The phenomenological aim
= ontological understanding of educating
= bring to light pedagogic essences
= knowledge of essences that give meaning to educating
= disclosing pedagogically meaningful ways of living
= detecting ways of living that are meaningful for becoming a proper adult
= unveiling ways of living that are characteristic of the pedagogic
= unlocking the ontic (concrete meaningful) characteristics of educating.

.2 Useful distinctions must be made among:

Phenomenological reduction
Eidetic reduction
Transcendental reduction

.2.1 Phenomenological reduction:
An attempt to renounce each form of essence blindness = activities of eliminating are actualized

.2.2 Eidetic reduction:
Penetration to essential characteristics = disclosing activities

.2.3 Transcendental reduction:
Self-judging.
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20. QUESTION REGARDING OPERATIONALIZING THE STEPS OF PHENOMENOLOGICAL THINKING

.1 Question
How can the steps that have to be followed in order to carry out the three reductions mentioned (also called phenomenological reductions) be described in operational form?

.2 Answer

PHENOMENOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>BRIEF DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Thinking away</td>
<td>If one or another matter with respect to an imagined (thought) situation can be thought away and that situation does not change, such a matter can be ignored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Acting away</td>
<td>If one or another matter with respect to a real situation can be acted away and the situation does not change, such a matter can be ignored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Separating</td>
<td>Once it has been decided which matters are important and which not and if the unimportant matters are removed (left out) the important matters can appear more clearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Contradictions</td>
<td>For each matter its opposite (contradiction) is suggested as a possibility and its being negative (or positive) is shown so the positive (or negative) of the particular matter can appear more clearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The hermeneutic question</td>
<td>For each meaningful matter that appears the following is asked: What purpose is served by its actualization? Or: What is the significance of its actualization?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Vitalization (enlivenment)</td>
<td>A matter that is acceptable to a philosophy of life is a vital matter (its life has been awakened).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Becoming practice</td>
<td>A matter that can be a part of a particular practice (especially for its...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
improvement) is a meaningful matter.

8. Categorical status A matter that can be used as an 
illuminative means of thinking, i.e.,
in terms of which there can be 
meaningful thinking, is a meaningful 
matter.

21. FROM PHENOMENOLOGY TO A RESEARCH PROGRAM

.1 Question
How can phenomenology develop into a research 
program?

.2 Reply
By making an analysis of the researcher’s structure of 
consciousness and of the meaning of the concept 
phenomenology.

BECOMING CONSCIOUS of a problem that must be solved in a planned way

CONSCIOUS ATTENTIVENESS of the place and meaning of each step in the research program

CONSCIOUS SEEING of the steps that form the research program

CONSCIOUS UNDERSTANDING with the aim of the best ordering of the research steps

CONSCIOUS FORE-
UNDERSTANDING as formulating clear hypotheses

CONSCIOUS IMAGINING as a previewing of the effectiveness of the designed research program

CONSCIOUS VERIFICATION implementing empirical methods (quantitative and qualitative)
CONSCIOUS USE OF LOGIC  using formal logic

CONSCIOUS MEANINGFUL RECAPITULATION  writing a review, conclusions and recommendations

CONSCIOUS SELF-EVALUATION  judge if the research meets congruent scientific and philosophy of life demands.
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AUTHOR’S ENGLISH SUMMARY*

* With American English spelling and slight editing.
The Development of Fundamental Pedagogics  
at Pretoria from 1969 to 1987

The purpose of this article is to indicate how reflection on educating  
has progressed between 1969 and 1987. The following is indicated:

1) How gradually more essences and structures of the pedagogic  
situation were uncovered by repeatedly asking relevant questions  
and seeking relevant answers. For example, it was recognized

i. that the encounter between an adult and a child  
   already is educating
ii. that adults and children are not continually in  
   each others presence – that there is a periodic  
   breaking away
iii. that when adults and children are together they  
   are working toward the child’s proper adulthood
iv. that a philosophy of life enjoys the same status as  
   the other pedagogic structures, and that, in  
   addition, it has a vitalizing function
v. that fundamental pedagogic essences become  
   practice because of their underlying relationship  
   with didactic sequence essences, ways of learning  
   and reality relationships
vi. that fundamental pedagogical essences must be  
   taken into account in the development of curricula.

2) Fundamental pedagogics is a philosophical discipline and  
therefore inquires into the grounding, thus into the preconditions  
for the possibility of the appearance of the pedagogic. Because  
educating is solely a human occurrence inquiries are made into its  
anthropological preconditions that have ontological status.

It has been indicated and declared that an ontological-  
anthropological grounding actually means that grounds for the  
pedagogic must be sought in an anthropology that is aimed at the  
real essentaility of human being-in-the-world, and that  
anthropological-pedagogic means that educating is an exclusively  
human matter.
3) The concepts “categories” and “criteria”, especially since 1968, have been prominent in the literature but they lacked clear formulation and elucidation. Purposeful study has led to these two concepts effectively functioning in pedagogic thought. The fact is that thinking is not only of something but also in terms of something. In other words, the thinker uses categories. The elucidation that categories are illuminative thinking aids and that if they are converted into questions they can be used as criteria is at present generally accepted by phenomenologists.

4) A meaningful development regarding phenomenology has taken place. Progress has been made from a mere phenomenological approach to a phenomenological method that has clear functional steps that are particularly meaningful for designing research programs, and that is satisfactorily placed within a philosophy of life framework.

Functional phenomenological reductions with the steps necessary to execute them have been described and elucidated. The outcome is an operationalized phenomenology that makes it possible to design a research program that is grounded in the consciousness of the researching scientist.
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