CHAPTER 3 SOME PSYCHOLOGICAL-PEDAGOGICAL CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING CHILD LIVED EXPERIENCING

1. INTRODUCTION

This chapter deals with designing some psychological-pedagogical criteria for evaluating the lived experiences of a child. These criteria are categories with evaluative significance, ⁽¹⁾ and each is formulated as an evaluative question. For example, are a child's potentialities for lived experiencing actualized? Does he/she lived experience security and trust? Does he/she lived experience his/her affective relationships as stable? Is a child's intelligence actualized? Does he/she explore his/her world? Is he/she progressing to moral independence? Is there an awakening of conscience?

On the one hand, these criteria are used to evaluate the pedagogical acceptability⁽²⁾ of an educator's intervention with a child, and of the activities and events flowing from this intervention, i.e., from a pedagogical perspective, these criteria are used to evaluate the psychic aspects of a child's affective, cognitive, and normative accompaniment (education) to determine if opportunities are provided and support and assistance are given for actualizing his/her potentialities. On the other hand, these criteria are psychological-pedagogical (or psychology of becoming) yardsticks for evaluating a child's level of lived experiencing and, thus, of his/her becoming, i.e., a child's responses to the appeals an educator and reality direct to him/her, as well as the ways he/she actualizes his/her own potentialities are evaluated.

For the sake of scientific systematization and clarification, a distinction is made among criteria for evaluating pathic, gnostic, and normative aspects or moments of lived experiences. It is emphasized that these are merely distinctions because these moments cannot be thought of or disclosed apart from each other. (The unity among the pathic, gnostic, and normative are repeatedly indicated in Chapter 2).

A child's psychic-spiritual becoming and, thus, psychological-pedagogics as a science, concerns the actualization (realization, implementation, concretization, conversion to reality) of a child's potentialities. ⁽³⁾ For Nel, ⁽⁴⁾ this means "awakening the child's psychic-spiritual potentialities" through educating. Therefore, the basic or primary criterion is the question of whether a child's potentialities for lived experiencing (pathic, gnostic, and normative) are actualized. Additional secondary criteria and guidelines flow from this.

For the psychologist-pedagogue all this involves disclosing the moments of a child's lived experiencing, as psychic moments in a pedagogic situation. Pathic, gnostic, and normative lived experiences are ways of being directed (of intentionality) which must be pedagogically awakened, formed, refined, and differentiated. In a pedagogic situation, a child's lived experiences are "educated" and humanized, and an adult directly influences

them. Child lived experiencing means being directed to reality via his/her intentionally; the educator must give a course to this intentionality.

For a child, the pedagogic situation, as lifeworld, also is a potential experiential world; in other words, for him/her, it is a lived experienced situation. Thus, here a pedagogic situation is proclaimed to be a situation within which pathic, gnostic, and normative moments stand out, and which then are lived experienced by a child. It is this lived experiencing of a child that is disclosed, understood, described, and evaluated here. In this way, there is an attempt to arrive at a qualitative evaluative knowing or understanding of a child rather than a quantification of him/her through measuring, quantifying, and objectifying.

It is difficult to delimit the entire range of what is pedagogically acceptable or desirable. Therefore, the following criteria are only a few examples of many possibilities.

2. PSYCHOLOGICAL-PEDAGOGIC CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING A CHILD'S LIVED EXPERIENCES

(a) Criteria for evaluating pathic (affective) lived experiences:

(i) Primary criterion: actualizing pathic potentialities of lived experiencing: Langeveld is the originator of this criterion because of his emphasis on the pathic aspect or moment of lived experiencing security,⁽⁵⁾ of

emphasis on the pathic aspect or moment of lived experiencing security,⁽⁵⁾ of bodiliness,⁽⁶⁾ of helplessness,⁽⁷⁾ of emotional warmth,⁽⁸⁾ of loving pampering,⁽⁹⁾ of affective appeal,⁽¹⁰⁾ and affective response.⁽¹¹⁾ Here, the evaluative questions are: Is a child's pathic lived experiencing favorable for his/her becoming? Is his/her becoming impeded by feelings of helplessness, uncertainty, insecurity, anxiety, etc. which he/she cannot assimilate or overcome?

This criterion includes the question of whether a child, in his/her pathic lived experiencing, is neglected by an emotionally impoverished educating. In this connection, Langeveld says, "Emotionally impoverished educating leaves a child more helpless than desired, and he is not assisted to develop his own emotional life because he is not appealed to, not allowed to be responsive in the world of emotions."⁽¹²⁾

A child's emotional life must be optimally humanized, awakened, and appealed to before specific affective lived experiences are possible for him/her, e.g., before he/she can respond in desired ways to his/her being addressed pathically, or before he/she can initiate favorable affective relationships. Here, the concern is with educating a child's affective being-there (existence, intentionality), and with forming his/her emotional life.

A child has a primary need for love, warmth, coziness, friendliness, coddling, pampering, etc. In this respect, Muller-Eckhard⁽¹³⁾ emphasizes "the child's longing for love, need for tenderness, and to be able to surrender completely ... " He refers to " a child's primordial lived experiencing of longing for loving sympathy ... "⁽¹⁴⁾ and adds, "In a child's longing

for love is a deep-seated need to be understood, to be accepted, to be valued."^{(15)*} When this longing is not met and satisfied, a child cannot really have intense love in his/her life.⁽¹⁶⁾

If this primary criterion is not satisfied, one finds an affectively neglected child where emotional deficiencies lead to affective distress and affective hunger. Nel⁽¹⁷⁾ refers to "defective affective forming," while Vedder⁽¹⁸⁾ and Rienstra⁽¹⁹⁾ see affective neglect as the condition where a child does not receive the love, affection, and emotional warmth needed for his/her optimal emotional development. Also, a child can receive too much love, which leads to an egocentric disposition toward the world. On the other hand, too little love cultivates indifference and disinterest.⁽²⁰⁾

(ii) Secondary criteria:

Loving care: consistent with the above primary criterion is the question of whether a child is lovingly cared for; it is precisely through loving care that his/her pathic potentialities are actualized. This question involves whether a child is sufficiently cared for, i.e., if he/she receives what he/she needs for his/her physical growth as well as for his/her unimpeded psychic-spiritual becoming. It also involves the question of the loving and coddling, which are paired with this physical care.

Nel⁽²¹⁾ refers to the pedagogical meaning of loving care and to the far-reaching influence of mother care and mother love on a child's becoming independent. For a child, caring presumes loving care, otherwise it is not human care.⁽²²⁾

Perquin⁽²³⁾ says the following about loving care: to be an educator is to have loving care for the becoming child. Only this form of love provides the spontaneous response to a child's needs and desires. Love embraces a child's entire wellbeing and, thus, demands an educator's complete effort because it must penetrate to a person in his/her uniqueness and wonderfulness. He also indicates some basic preconditions for the personal lived experience of love between parents and children which serves as additional criteria for an educator⁽²⁴⁾: "the most profound lived experience of family togetherness is **mealtime**." During mealtime, parents and children should spend time talking. "Also, **bedtime** is an exceptionally important lived experience in a child's life which should not be disturbed by unpleasantness." Parental protection and care must allow a child to feel secure. In addition, a child should feel his/her parents' supervision, guidance, and authority in his/her school, play, and sports activities. Finally, Perquin says a child ought to fulfill his/her religious duties in his/her heartfelt contact with his/her parents. According to him, ⁽²⁵⁾ the principle is that a parent must guide his/her child and understand him/her.

A child lived experiences his/her helplessness as a need for loving care. If he/she receives this loving care, it gives him/her the impetus for further becoming because he/she feels safe and secure. (See further on). Nel⁽²⁶⁾ indicates that "**loving** care is the educator's primary and most important task ... The parents' execution of this task not only has an affective-formative effect on the child but the intimate lived experience by

^{*} Moments of lived-experiencing here mean that a child feels loved (pathic/affective), knows he is accepted (gnostic/cognitive) and is something of value (normative). To know one is accepted also is a lived-experiencing on a higher-distanced level.

the parent of affective, spiritual satisfaction necessarily deepens parenthood spiritually by which the child's educating hits fuller stride, becomes more directed, and is transformed into a more concrete form."

Loving care is lived experienced by a child on different levels depending on his/her level of development already attained. For example, an infant and young child lived experience loving **physical** care⁽²⁷⁾ on an extremely vital-pathic level, which serves as a precondition for later lived experiencing loving care on a higher, gnostic level. An example of this latter level is an older child's lived experiencing loving **spiritual** care, ⁽²⁸⁾ i.e., "the activation of the spiritual dimension of being a person."⁽²⁹⁾ Loving spiritual care includes pedagogic moments such as accepting a child as he/she is, personal forming, forming conscience, responsibility, values, and will, or character. ⁽³⁰⁾ (See further on).

Security: for Langeveld, ⁽³¹⁾ "lived experiencing security arises only when love is shown." We have learned to know a child as a being who needs and seeks security. He/she finds this security in his/her parents and others. For Langeveld, ⁽³²⁾ if a child is to become a person (humanized), this very minimal precondition must be met. Lived experienced security is a precondition for a child's exploring the world and, thus, for his/her emancipation to adulthood.

Oberholzer ⁽³³⁾ and, following him, Landman ⁽³⁴⁾ present the criterion of "the success of affective security." Landman continues, "A child must be offered a secure space from which he can explore and to which he can return as soon as he lived experiences insecurity."⁽³⁵⁾ Thus, a secure space must be offered a child; in the case of a learning child, this means a secure space for learning (Sonnekus).

Muller-Eckhard ⁽³⁶⁾ refers to a child's longing for security, and his/her intense need to fully trust an educator and surrender and entrust him/herself to him/her. This longing demands tithe unconditional devotion of the parents. Also, Garbers⁽³⁷⁾ stresses the very important role played by feelings of security in a young child's development." In this connection, Van der Stoep⁽³⁸⁾ sets the didactic-pedagogical criterion of security which, along with stability, certainty, and safety, (literally) means to bring to rest. A learning child must be brought to rest, to a (pathic) standstill (stability), and be called on to reflect to guarantee a firm grasp (understanding-gnostic) of reality. In terms of lived experiencing, this view is stated as follows: lived experiencing stability, certainty, security, and tranquility are pathic and serve as preconditions for gnostic lived experiencing of reflection and understanding; thus, they are pathic preconditions for gnostic occurrences. A lack of security leads to anxiety, which is detrimental to the further development of bodily and psychic "functions" because a child cannot defend him/herself and, thus, feels completely **powerless**; Nieuwenhuyse⁽³⁹⁾ says this feeling paralyzes a child.

In comparison with a pathic lived experience of security, two modes of being directed demanding that a child lived experience on a higher gnostic level are self-security ⁽⁴⁰⁾ and trust. In his/her becoming, a child must gradually lived experience security on his/her own initiative. A child who habitually lived experiences security can distance him/herself to self-security and self-confidence. Instead of pathic dependence on the security offered by others, a child progresses to a self-security on a gnostic level through

an objective assimilation of contacts and connections with reality as well as through a gnostic attunement to (understanding and insight into) his/her own situation.

Langeveld⁽⁴¹⁾ explains how, in connection with a child's self-discovery, the distance from the secure world of the family increases and now he/she is secure by him/herself. Soon, as an adult in a world where insecurity is continually familiar, he/she must provide security to his/her children him/herself. Thus, self-security points in the direction of morally independent adulthood, and to the habitual gnostic disposition of an adult.

There is a very close connection between a **feeling of security** (pathic-gnostic lived experience) and an **awareness of trust** (gnostic-pathic lived experience). ⁽⁴²⁾ Yet, one can clearly distinguish between the two. Nieuwenhuis⁽⁴³⁾ does this as follows: "a first difference between **security** and **trust** seems to me to be that security **expresses a condition in which one finds oneself** (pathic),^{*} while trust **includes a certain degree of activity** (gnostic)^{*}." In the second place, trust is "a more developed object of consciousness" than is security⁽⁴⁴⁾ and, thus, it is lived experienced on a higher gnostic level.

Connected with his/her self-differentiation ("subject-object split"), in lived experiencing trust, a child distances him/herself from a pathic to a gnostic trust, "from a vague to a clearer awareness, from an intuitive to a more explicit, rational level."⁽⁴⁵⁾ Pathic trust is not rationally founded. It is non-rational, unlimited, uncritical, original, unconditional; therefore, it is called "blind". Gradually, blind trust makes way for a consciously founded, critical trust (gnostic).⁽⁴⁷⁾

With broken trust, a child lived experiences him/herself as left in the lurch, as abandoned, and betrayed and with related feelings of hate, anxiety, and uncertainty.⁽⁴⁷⁾ Broken trust hinders a child in distancing from a pathic to a gnostic level of trust. In repeatedly lived experiencing betrayal, blind trust is changed into blind mistrust, and infantilizing, or a disturbed relationship of trust results. Here, "prevention is better than a cure ... (because) it is an extremely difficult task to re-establish a trust in life for a child who has been abandoned."⁽⁴⁸⁾

A child's becoming involves distancing from a lived experience of loving care (vitalpathic), via a lived experience of security (pathic), through pathic trust and gnostic trust to self-security and self-confidence on a gnostic level. Thus, an educator's task is "to help a dependent child become strong and independent ... Hence, a feeling of security arises which enables a child to explore further, to distance himself more from the trusted to a greater awareness of his own power."⁽⁴⁹⁾ Thus, a child's lived experiences of security and safety are relevant yardsticks for evaluating an adult's pedagogic intervention.

Bodiliness: of all things which can be experienced, our body is that which is most our own, that which we least stand opposite. In so far as a person lived experiences him/herself as a self-moving subject, his/her own body is his/her access to the world. ⁽⁵⁰⁾ A person cannot approach him/herself, others, and the world without lived experiencing his/her

^{*} Inserted by the author.

body.⁽⁵¹⁾ In and through his/her bodiliness (corporeality), a person lived experiences pathically, gnostically, and normatively.

During a child's entire flourishing, his/her psycho-spiritual becoming is related to his/her bodily potentialities, and his/her lived experiences of them. According to Langeveld, ⁽⁵²⁾ this becoming should also be viewed in terms of the biological mediation of the body (biological moment of becoming) with its processes and functions; a person cannot be understood without considering his/her body and his/her lived experiences of it. As an example, Langeveld ⁽⁵³⁾ mentions puberty, which is so clearly connected to bodily phenomena.

A child's bodily lived experiences involve his/her naive, original, vital-pathic lived experience of this biological aspect, although the gnostic is always present, e.g., in knowing one's own bodily potentialities, bodily limitations (helplessness or defects), bodily changes during puberty. (See Beets, N., Lichaamsbeleving en sexualiteit in de puberteit).

The psychology of the body and of bodily lived experiences is a very broad field which cannot be treated extensively at this time. Here, only the following evaluative questions are posed: Does a child or youth lived experience his/her own body favorably, or does it mean for him/her a restraint in his/her total becoming? How does an educator act to support a child in accepting and assimilating his/her bodily deficiencies? Is a child's bodily care adequate? A child's lived experience of helplessness is also a lived experience of bodily helplessness. It is precisely a deficiency or defect in his/her biological moment which is felt in a person as a totality, e.g., with a strong lived experience of helplessness. ⁽⁵⁴⁾

Stable affective relationships: it is especially from the expositions by Sonnekus ⁽⁵⁵⁾ and Rienstra⁽⁵⁶⁾ that the criterion of the lived experience of stable affective relationships is posed. According to Sonnekus, ⁽⁵⁷⁾ security is the foundation for stable, affective relationships between child and adult and, thus, for a child's trusting readiness to participate emotionally in the world. "The entire **event of becoming** ... is rooted ... in a trusting relationship between adult and child, as a stable affective relationship ... which also contains a pedagogic task."⁽⁵⁸⁾

The evaluative question regarding the lived experience of affective relationships in a child's situation also concerns how **stability** in affective relationships is lived experienced by a child.

Rienstra⁽⁵⁹⁾ also indicates how emotional ambivalence between adult and child burdens a child. Not only does a child remain extremely childlike (infantile) in his/her emotional life, but these unstable affective relationships are seen in the form of learning and behavioral problems, as well as in feelings of insecurity and anxiety. A child becomes so occupied with his/her own involved emotional problems that he/she is not adequately open to what he/she must learn at school.⁽⁶⁰⁾ Thus, he/she is not affectively ready to distance him/herself to the cognitive.

(b) Criteria for evaluating gnostic (cognitive) lived experiences*

(i) Primary criterion: actualizing gnostic

potentialities:

on the one hand, this yardstick places demands on an adult educator (parent and teacher) to educate a child to actualize his/her gnostic potentialities; on the other hand, a child must actualize his/her intellectual potentialities. He/she must give a positive response to the gnostic appeal directed to him/her.

The actualization of gnostic potentialities also can be expressed in terms of a few other criteria, such as actualizing intelligence, breaking through the surrounding world, insight, learning, understanding, knowing, being aware, thinking, perceiving, cognitive orientation, distancing, intellectualizing. Some of these are presented below as criteria.

For Sonnekus, ⁽⁶¹⁾ this criterion involves a child actualizing his/her intelligence as a mode of being, and an adult educating a child to responsibly actualize it. "The actualization of this power to breakthrough (intelligence), as a **cognitive mode of being**, however, is subject to the intentional directedness of a child to a lived experiencing on a gnostic level supported by pathic lived experiencing."⁽⁶²⁾ Thus, a child needs the help and support of an adult to adequately actualize his/her intelligence; this involves the role and meaning of educating. On the one hand, by presenting norms to him/her, a child must be educated ethically-normatively to responsibly actualize his/her intelligence.⁽⁶³⁾ He/she designs what for him/her is a new, meaningful experiential world; "he discovers new **values** for his acts of intelligence, and he **lived experiences** their **meaning** gnostic-pathically."⁽⁶⁴⁾ "But, on the other hand, **affective educating** to security in trust, to overcome his helplessness and to a **readiness to participate** (affectively) are equally important. Thus, a child also must be emotionally readied to explore **intelligently**, to intelligently go out to and venture into his world," says Sonnekus.⁽⁶⁵⁾

The ways of lived experiencing which are preconditions for actualizing intelligence, i.e., (i) a directedness, as lived experiencing on a gnostic level (intention to learn) supported by (ii) pathic lived experiencing, as well as (iii) by ethical-normative lived experiencing also hold for a child's other (cognitive) modes of living, such as learning, insight, intellectualizing, and distancing. In other words, a child must be educated to actualize each of these cognitive ways of being, as ways of learning.

Van der Stoep⁽⁶⁶⁾ views the event of forming (as a didactic event) as assisting a child to reach his/her own adulthood (which includes an optimal actualization of potentialities). For him, the formative event (as didactic assistance by an adult) involves deepening, broadening, improving, and enlarging a child's lived experiencing potentialities, and his/her sphere of lived experiencing.⁽⁶⁷⁾

In categorical forming (Klafki), reality is unlocked for a child, and a child unlocks him/herself for reality.⁽⁶⁸⁾ "Unlocking reality for a child can only occur because, as a child (person), he/she is oriented to learn. Stated formally, each child shows an intention directed to learning," says Van der Stoep.⁽⁶⁹⁾

^{*} Since in a didactic situation the emphasis is on gnostic lived-experiences, these criteria also will be presented as didactic criteria.

Some didactic-pedagogical criteria flow from these views. Is a child being formed? Are his/her potentialities broadening and deepening? Is reality unlocked for a child? Does a child unlock him/herself for reality? Does a child show an intention to learn? In this, is a child supported by his/her pathic and ethical-normative lived experiences?

In terms of Van der Stoep's view, ⁽⁷⁰⁾ the criterion of objectification (distancing) is relevant here. A child is presented with the demand to objectify or to distance him/herself from reality (objective-realistic-gnostic attunement to reality) to gain a proper (clear, unbiased judgment) perspective on a specific structure of reality. Thus, objectification is viewed as an "intentional achievement by a child";⁽⁷¹⁾ this, for a child, objectification is a learning achievement. ⁽⁷²⁾

Van Hiele's (⁷³⁾ useful definition of insight gives rise to the following important evaluative question: Does a child act in an intentionally adequate way in new situations? In other words, insight is dependent on a child's pathic-gnostic directedness (intentionality), thus, on his/her pathic-gnostic **attunement** to a new situation.

Lastly, according to Langeveld's descriptions, the criterion of intellectualizing (synonymous with actualizing intellectual potentialities) is fathomed in terms of objectification (distancing) from a pathic to a gnostic level. In intellectualizing, "there is not merely a change in the knowing relationship to the world because whenever the gnostic-knowing relationship changes, so does the pathic-emotional. When one knowingly encounters the world, he/she loses the feeling of mystery, threat, and tension of feelings. Hence, a child's affectivity also changes ... Thus, intellectualizing essentially includes distancing **my** world to an 'object'. **My** feelings arise for discussion. Things become viewed objectively ... "⁽⁷⁵⁾

The essential contribution of school to a child's intellectualizing, thus, is evaluated as follows. "A school is equal to its task if an emotional distance is created and a pupil is imparted with an available knowledge of methods and essential facts which make thinking-knowing possible," says Langeveld. ⁽⁷⁶⁾ Once again, here is the didactic-pedagogic yardstick: Has intellectualizing occurred? Has a child entered a knowing relationship with the world? Is there affective distance which makes possible an objective view of things as well as thinking?

An encompassing didactic task arises from these evaluative questions regarding a child's gnostic lived experience, and since this is a matter for didactic pedagogics, it is not dealt with further.

(ii) Secondary criteria:

Habitual gnostic disposition on a childlike level: earlier it is indicated that a habitual pathic disposition is expected of a younger child and that a gnostic disposition is demanded of an older child and adult. Thus, with reference to a child of approximately nine years, the following question is asked: do his/her ways of lived experiencing point to a gnostic disposition or is there infantility? From approximately nine years, gnostic demands can be made of a child on a more formal level. However, without the desired gnostic disposition, he/she cannot meet these demands.

It is emphasized that the concern here is not with the gnostic disposition of an adult; only that disposition is called for of which a child is capable. In this regard, Sonnekus⁽⁷⁷⁾ calls attention to the fact that a younger child (to approximately nine years) is primarily pathically disposed (to sensing or pre-cognitive learning) in his/her learning involvement with things. For an older child, lived experiencing shifts to a gnostic level, and he/she learns, distinguishes, compares (perceives, thinks). This shift includes breaking through his/her pathic sensing to a distancing from his/her own subjective sensing to a level of the objective, factual. Thus, here learning is directed to acquiring **knowledge**.⁽⁷⁸⁾

Vliegenthart⁽⁷⁹⁾ studied children with reading and spelling problems and their relationship to the world. He finds that the pathic and gnostic lived experiences of these children are markedly differentiated; they are essentially bound to primarily emotional and sensory lived experiences of the world, and with this, there is an immediate, vital being bound, all of which continually intrude on and dominate these children to such an extent that attempts to lived experience (gnostic) order in terms of formal systems easily become emotionally-vitally flooded. These children are unable to "step out of" their emotional, vital connection with things and events to a more distanced cognitive relationship with them. Thus, the productive intertwining of being emotionally and knowingly with things and the cognitive ordering and controlling of them are inadequately actualized, so says Vliegenthart.⁽⁸⁰⁾

Finally, Van Gelder⁽⁸¹⁾ says that with affective immaturity, an objective relationship with work does not arise, and is a factor which impedes a normal learning event.

Initiating gnostic relationships: when a person is described as an "initiator of relationships,"⁽⁸²⁾ the criterion used here regards a child as initiator of gnostic or learning relationships to things and others. This criterion is related to the preceding one. This matter is discussed thoroughly by Sonnekus,⁽⁸³⁾ to whose work the reader is referred. Briefly, Sonnekus'⁽⁸⁴⁾ view is as follows: the initiative for learning relationships (as primarily gnostic relationships) emanates from a child him/herself but is directed and supported by an adult. A child lived experiences his/her modes of learning pathically and gnostically, and on varying levels of differentiation, but to really learn, an initiative to distance him/herself is required. For example, the learning world of a younger child primarily has a pathic flavor, while an older child mostly shows a gnostic initiative. This important shift from a pathic to a gnostic lived experiencing of learning usually occurs at approximately nine years.

With this matter of gnostic relationships, along with the view of a child as an initiator of such relationships, there is the cognitive aspect of a pedagogical adult-child relationship, seen as a psychic aspect of a pedagogical situation. In other words, this involves a relationship of understanding or knowing between educator and child, and the evaluative question is whether an educator and child understand and know each other. Misunderstandings between educator and child can seriously hinder a child's becoming because it is especially a child's becoming adult which is at stake in this cognitive being together of educator and educand. In this regard, Muller-Eckhard ⁽⁸⁵⁾ is concerned with the fate of a child who is not understood. Thus, his book is called **Kinderen vragen bergip** (Children ask for understanding). A child asks for understanding from an adult

educator regarding his/her encounter with the domain of adulthood, and regarding his/her difficult transition **from** a subjectively established, vital-pathic, primordial lived experience of the world of a child (i.e., free, unrestrained, arbitrary, undisturbed, boundless, happy-go-lucky, unrealistic, trustworthy, desirous) **to** the world of an adult with its demands for order, soberness, efficiency, objectivity, time-boundness, etc.⁽⁸⁶⁾ Thus, Muller-Eckhard indicates that when loving interest and understanding by parents are wanting, there arise "a confused and confusing lived experience,"⁽⁸⁷⁾ and also a desire for power, feelings of aggression, guilt, anxiety, and distress.⁽⁸⁸⁾

Unresolved conflict can be psychopathological for a child. ⁽⁸⁹⁾ A child becomes "sick" because of what his/her parents take to be education, especially because of a lack of real understanding. Muller-Eckhard says such parents are the fate of their child. ⁽⁹⁰⁾ Regarding a child not understood, Muller-Eckhard⁽⁹¹⁾ indicates further that "a child likely will show life-long suffering and damage because this lack of understanding occurs at such a critical time. A child becomes disturbed in his/her entire psychic development, mostly in the form of restraint. And why? Because then a child lives in a sphere which does not support the spiritual."

Perquin ⁽⁹²⁾ says that love (in its deepest essence) is a precondition for understanding a child. Love makes an educator at one with a child, with his/her concerns, desires, thoughts. No chilly understanding, quick-witted analysis, cleaver argument can take the place of the goodwill which alone gives rise to self-sacrifice, patience, tact, and persistence.

From the above, the criterion of loving **understanding** arises, and thus becomes an evaluative question regarding a child's gnostic lived experiences of an adult's pedagogical (i.e., didactic) intervention, as a lived experience of **understanding**.

Exploration: "The 'inclination to explore' is of extreme importance, and one cannot understand psychic development without it," writes Langeveld.⁽⁹³⁾ The criterion of exploration (ver-kenning) is especially fathomed as knowing (kenning) the world (gnostic activity). However, before this can occur, a child must be affectively ready to participate (gnostic venturing) in

reality ⁽⁹⁴⁾ because, although he/she ventures gnostically, he/she acts on an affective foundation.

Van der Stoep⁽⁹⁵⁾ views exploration as an activity which undeniably raises the question of learning, in the sense of "learning to know," and "learning to master." A child must become oriented to the things knowable in life. Perquin⁽⁹⁶⁾ indicates that a child is actively involved in his/her becoming through exploration and emancipation. A child is not only receptive but explores. In each exploratory act is a bit of independence, because to explore always means "going one's **own** way."

Emancipation has to do with freeing a child via freeing his/her potentialities, and here the emphasis is on freeing or actualizing his/her cognitive potentialities. Van der Stoep⁽⁹⁷⁾ posits emancipation as a didactical criterion which includes factors such as exploring, lived experiencing his/her own security, but, at the same time, a new (changed) form of a learning person's involvement with reality. Emancipation points to a readiness and

willingness to explore. A child loosens him/herself further [i.e., periodically away] from an adult. Also, regarding his/her conscience, he/she discovers values, norms, and opinions which direct his/her life to what is proper, writes Van der Stoep. The evaluative question here is whether a child explores his/her world, and if he/she is becoming emancipated; with what disposition (attitude) does he/she explore the world? Regarding his/her gnostic lived experiencing, does he/she also show that he/she is someone who eagerly wants to become someone him/herself, someone who wants to know, learn, discover?

Work disposition (attitude): a disposition to work is a disposition distanced **from** a pathic, carefree form of existence **to** a gnostic-realistic focus on work, task, and product. Thus, it is related to a work attitude, lived experienced tasks, a readiness for work, school, etc.

A disposition to work is a child's readiness to accept tasks and then direct him/herself to a stated aim until it is achieved as well as possible.⁽⁹⁸⁾ A disposition to work is initiated by an affective readiness to direct oneself gnostically, yet this disposition refers further to a child being able to gnostically overcome difficulties he/she encounters in completing the task he/she has accepted.

(c) Criteria for evaluating normative lived experiences:

(i) Primary criterion: actualizing normative potentialities: a child's becoming is an open event, "open for discovery, open to the intervention of good and bad influences, and experiences ... open for identifying with others and what they do."⁽⁹⁹⁾ (Among other things, norms, and values).

The issue here is awakening and forming a child as spirituality regarding his/her norms and regulating, routinizing ,and disciplining. A child's striving life (passions, drives, sentiments, volitional life, emotional life) must become directed by normative lived experiences. His/her egocentricity, pathic obstinacy, and ungoverned emotionality must develop to a level-headedness, a controlled emotional life. and norm-directed activities thus, to a lived experience of affective stability, which is a favorable precondition for being directed gnostically, and normatively. This includes socializing a child, since normative lived experiences involve a becoming toward others, learning to take fellow persons into consideration.

The matters of norming, awakening conscience, freedom, and responsibility, authority, and moral independence are closely related. In this connection, the reader is referred to the criteria proposed by Oberholzer,⁽¹⁰⁰⁾ i.e., norm-centeredness, sympathetic, authoritative guidance, validity of demands of propriety, and conquering freedom.

Through the authority by which an educator exemplifies norms, a child acquires confidence about them. A young child also lived experiences authority on a pathic level because he/she cannot separate it either from a person. as the mere conveyor of authority, from that person's physical presence.⁽¹⁰¹⁾ By distancing him/herself from this (pathic) lived experience of authority (docility) to a higher gnostic level, authority increasingly becomes less a consequence of a personal connection with the conveyor of authority (i.

e., an adult) and more a consequence of the norms which are given form in the exercise of authority.⁽¹⁰²⁾ Thus, lived experiencing the authority of the norm itself (obedience) is seen as more gnostic-distanced compared with lived experiencing authority as the authority-of-the-educator (docility). Just as obedience is a way of being on a higher gnostic level than is docility, identification with a norm, as such, is on a higher level which distances the norm from an identification of it with an educator as such.

Perquin⁽¹⁰³⁾ also emphasizes the necessity for authority in educating. He says the confidence that parents **have everything to say** is obvious and necessary for a child. Not only does a child take refuge in his/her parents and expect love and care from them, he/she also gives them his/her trust and knows that he/she must obey them; thus, he/she grants them their **authority**.⁽¹⁰⁴⁾

Under "loving spiritual care" Nel⁽¹⁰⁵⁾ includes the spiritual-emotional acceptance of a child, forming his/her person, conscience, responsibility, values, will, and character. He⁽¹⁰⁶⁾ says, "The following tasks, with their aspect of lived experience, are awakening conscience, responsibility, awareness of values, deep spiritual feelings, i.e., all that a spiritual dimension implies, and that is inseparably connected with willful choices and decisions ... this especially concerns confronting a child with the normative, thus with values, with the demands of propriety and forming his will toward the good so that later he can choose in freedom."

The problem of pedagogical neglect arises when an educator does not fulfill the demands of this criterion. This topic is elaborated on by Nel, ⁽¹⁰⁷⁾ Rienstra, ⁽¹⁰⁸⁾ and Vedder, ⁽¹⁰⁹⁾ among others. Vedder ⁽¹¹⁰⁾ says, "There is **pedagogical neglect** when too few demands for self-restraint are posed, when no norms are taught." Thus, a child is educationally neglected when an educator does too little in his/her educating, guiding, exercising authority and discipline. Pedagogical neglect, then, is an **educative deficiency** resulting from a pedagogical aim and pedagogical steps which are inadequate. Then parents invite a deficit in their pedagogical intervention with their child; they hold him/her to too few norms and demands; they leave him/her too free to direct him/herself to what he/she finds immediately satisfying. This freedom means he/she is guided inadequately. ⁽¹¹¹⁾ Thus, the evaluative question regarding normative lived experiences is how does a child lived experience the educative norms his/her parents emulate for him/her?

(ii) Secondary criteria:

Lived experience of norms and values: Van der Stoep⁽¹¹²⁾ says, "When adults and children are dependent on each other, pedagogical norms and values (the **content** of educating) hold unconditionally, and (understandably) demand unconditional obedience." On the one hand, this involves a pathic lived experiencing of norms and values. The close interweaving of feelings and values (in terms of the work of Max Scheler) has been pointed out. On the other hand, there is a child's gnostic lived experiencing of norms, i.e., the demands of propriety. Here the question is whether a child understands the norms, e.g., religious norms and values.

For Perquin, ⁽¹¹³⁾ it is important that educating be directed to acknowledging values and the respect which accompanies their acceptance. Respect indicates that there is more in things than one can see. Respect is a wondering (pathic lived experience) as well as a

search for insight (gnostic lived experience). A respectful attitude toward life is the only possibility for becoming a sharer of values. Thus, respect means being bound to values.

An essential definition of educating includes a confrontation with life values; there must be an integration of educating to adulthood and of life values. Flourishing to adulthood is accomplished by discovering, integrating, and prioritizing values on the basis of their intrinsic meaning. ⁽¹¹⁴⁾ Perquin confronts educating with the following evaluative question: to what extent and how is an integration of values contributing to a youth's inner

development?⁽¹¹⁵⁾

Awakening conscience: according to Kohnstamm, ⁽¹¹⁶⁾ the central problem of educating is forming conscience, and whoever says conscience says responsibility. Thus, through educating, something **in** a child must be formed, i.e., conscience. In this way, a child is educated to being a "personality."⁽¹¹⁷⁾ The self-judgments of one's actions in discharging his/her responsibilities is a function of **conscience**. Conscience is at the core of all lived experiences of being responsible, says Bigot. ⁽¹¹⁸⁾

Conscience (con-science) is a knowing (gnostic lived experience) as well as a felt knowing (pathic lived experience) but especially a knowing that one deviates (or not) from norms, values, laws, customs, conventions, etc. (normative lived experience). Conscience is closely related to lived experiencing responsibility, duty, guilt, religion, choices, and freedom.

Langeveld⁽¹¹⁹⁾ holds that conscience is a **feeling structure** in that emotional norms are lived experienced and, thus, implicit values are known. (Thus, pathic, gnostic and normative lived experiences also are actualized here). Langeveld⁽¹¹⁹⁾ and also Knoop⁽¹²⁰⁾ view chastisement as an awakening and sharpening of conscience. The aim of purposeful "pain" is to improve a child morally.

Viktor Frankl's (¹²¹) view of the spiritual unconscious, as the "center" of human existence (a person), is also of pedagogical importance. The phenomenon of **conscience** is rooted in the spiritual unconscious. The lived experience of conscience unlocks for a person that which yet ought be, the value potentialities which ought to be actualized (**ought** in a moral sense). ⁽¹²³⁾ For this author, the concern here is with potentializing, activating, or awakening conscience. ⁽¹²⁴⁾ This forming of conscience is indeed pedagogical forming.

Dienelt ⁽¹²⁵⁾ views educating to responsibility in terms of Frankl's existential analysis. He also emphasizes the spiritual reality of a person, the autonomy of spiritual existence, and a person's **striving for meaning**. This has to do with the meaning of human existence, and the task character of life for which a person is responsible. ⁽¹²⁶⁾ A person must become aware of his/her being-responsible (lived experience of responsibility). He/she must come to him/herself; in other words, he/she must become fully aware of his/her responsibility. ⁽¹²⁷⁾ Instead of the "unrestrained development" characterizing American education, it must be directed to governing a child's emotional life and forming his/her conscience. ⁽¹²⁸⁾ This, the major task of pedagogy is "educating to responsibility ... to having a conscience," says Dienelt. ⁽¹²⁹⁾

Following the above line of thought, the following criteria for conscience are proposed: is a child chastised; is his/her conscience awakened and sharpened?

Sense and meaning: as a lived experiencing I and, thus, as intentionality directed to his/her world, a child can do nothing else than give sense and meaning to his/her world and lived experience this sense and meaning. Lived experience is already described as intentionality and, therefore, as an act of giving sense and meaning. Moments of lived experiencing also are moments of sense and meaning, and the reverse. Lived experiencing is a concerned involvement with reality. "Giving sense and meaning, and lived experiencing point to an existential-ethical involvement with reality, and to one's own responsibility," writes Landman. ⁽¹³⁰⁾ Now, the evaluative questions are what sense and meaning does a child give to his/her world, how does he/she lived experience this sense and meaning, is this lived experiencing favorable to his/her becoming? How responsible is a child's existential-ethical involvement with reality?

Van der Stoep's (¹³¹) category of giving meaning also can be posed as a criterion. Considering his explication, the matter of giving sense and meaning is used as a criterion for child lived experiencing: this involves giving meaning to (and ordering) reality as an act of intentional consciousness. Thus, reality acquires meaning for a child. Giving meaning carries the interaction between reality and an intention to learn (lived experience learning). There are aspects of implicit meanings (which lie in the things themselves) and explicit meanings (which a child attributes to things), says Van der Stoep. ⁽¹³²⁾ He clarifies further: "giving meaning, and the totality of reality which a child goes out to are closely and inseparably related because, in both cases, these **aspects of lived experience** (i.e., giving meaning and the totality of reality) are primary. Thus, in learning, a child is continually busy lived experiencing and deepening the meanings of a series of situations by which his/her behaviors, interpretations, and mastery of future situations are defined to a degree."⁽¹³³⁾

Moral independence (responsibility and freedom): with the criterion of moral independence, it is necessary to mention freedom and responsibility. A child must be educated to freedom, not **from** something but **to** responsibility. A child is not free from the spiritual (lived experiences) which address him/her.⁽¹³⁴⁾ Moral independence is a gnostic-normative lived experience. Freedom and responsibility mean that a person (specifically an educand, on the level of a child) can respond in distanced ways to his/her being questioned in terms of known (gnostic lived experience) norms.

A child's dependence implies that, for his/her living and for the spiritual potentialities within him/her, he/she needs the help of adults.⁽¹³⁵⁾ Hence, it is only through educating that a child can take personal responsibility for who he/she is and what he/she does.⁽¹³⁶⁾ An educator must help and support a child to continually master that which is given to him/her in his/her being human, i.e., his/her fundamental responsibilities.⁽¹³⁷⁾ As soon as an educator neglects to let a child carry as much responsibility as he/she can carry, and neglects to hold him/her accountable for all he/she can be responsible for, he/she no longer is an educator, and he/she pushes an educand away from his/her life potentialities and potentialities of lived experiences, says Langeveld.⁽¹³⁸⁾

A child's freedom is laboriously inculcated through educating. The contrast between "authority and freedom" is, therefore, incorrect: authority **creates** freedom. A child left on his/her own will not arrive at "freedom" but will fall into chaos, arbitrariness--purely vital, vegetative (vital-pathic) lived experiences. Human freedom means being bound. (139)

Only when a child has become **independent** is giving support (educating) discontinued.⁽¹⁴⁰⁾ Thus, we speak of adulthood when true independence is achieved and when a person is able to **take a personal position**, when he/she can direct him/herself unselfishly to others, when he/she has accepted the more important values on intrinsic grounds.⁽¹⁴¹⁾

3. SYNTHESIS

Some psychological-pedagogical criteria are presented for evaluating child lived experiences. Based on a phenomenologically oriented literature in psychology, pedagogy, didactics and philosophical anthropology, these criteria are further expanded, elucidated and illustrated.

Special concern was given to the primary criteria regarding the actualization of lived experiences. It is noted how these criteria (regarding pathic, gnostic, and normative lived experiencing) are intrinsically intertwined, how they are connected, and form a unity. No act of lived experience is possible without its pathic, gnostic, and normative moments. It is indicated that the pathic, gnostic, and normative lived experiences, as a unitary event, involve a child's humanizing, educating, teaching, norming, and becoming.

These criteria make demands of an educator for insightful pedagogical directions; also, they demand that a child actualize his/her potentialities of lived experiencing.

In the following chapter, some examples are given of aspects or moments of child lived experiencing.

REFERENCES

- 1. Landman, W. A. & Gous, S. J.: Inleiding tot die fundamentele pedagogiek, p. 69.
- 2. Ibid.
- 3. Langeveld, M. J.: Ontwikkelingspsychologie, pp. 36 & 37.
- 4. Nel, B. F.: Pedagogiese verwaarlosing, p. 18.
- 5. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 42.
- 6. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., pp. 32 & 83.
- 7. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 43.
- 8. Ibid.
- 9. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 42.
- 10. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 43.
- 11. Ibid.
- 12. Ibid.
- 13. Muller-Eckhard, H.: Kinderen vragen Begrip, p. 48.

- 14. Ibid.
- 15. Ibid.
- 16. Ibid.
- 17. Nel, B. F.: op cit.
- 18. Vedder, R.: Inleiding tot de Psychiatrie, p. 152.
- 19. Rienstra, Y.: Kind, School, Gezin, pp. 64-67.
- 20. Ibid.
- 21. Nel, B. F.: Die opvoeding van die kleuter, pp. 29-33.
- 22. Nel, B. F.: op cit., p. 29.
- 23. Perquin, N.: Pedagogiek, pp. 125 & 126.
- 24. Perquin, N.: op cit., pp. 152-154.
- 25. Ibid.
- 26. Nel, B. F.: Pedagogiese verwaarlosing, p. 36.
- 27. Ibid.
- 28. Ibid.
- 29. Ibid.
- 30. Nel, B. F.: op cit., pp. 36 & 37.
- 31. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 42.
- 32. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 32.
- 33. Oberholzer, C. K.: Prolegomena van 'n prinsipiele pedagogiek, p. 318.
- 34. Landman, W. A. in Landman, W. A. & Gous, S. J.: op cit., p. 70.
- 35. Landman, W. A.: op cit., p. 70.
- 36. Muller-Eckhard, H.: op cit., p. 48.
- 37. Garbers, J. G. in Nel, B. F., Sonnekus, M. C. H. & Garbers, J. G.: Grondslae van die psigologie, p. 366.
- 38. Van der Stoep, F.: Didaktiese grondvorme, p. 37.
- 39. Nieuwenhuis, H. in Bordewijk, W., Fokkema, D. & Nieuwenhuis, H.: Kinderpsychologie en opvoedkundige Psychologie, p. 2.
- 40. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., pp. 43 & 83.
- 41. Langeveld, M. J.: op ccit., p. 83.
- 42. Nieuwenhuis, H.: op cit., p. 1.
- 43. Ibid.
- 44. Ibid.
- 45. Nieuwenhuis, H.: op cit., p. 2.
- 46. Nieuwenhuis, H.: op cit., pp. 3 & 4.
- 47. Nieuwenhuis, H.: op cit., pp. 4 & 5.
- 48. Nieuwenhuis, H.: op cit., p. 6.
- 49. Van den Berg, L. A. C. & Kortmann, A. M. L.: Toevertrouwd aan Jou, p. 9/
- 50. Buytendijk, F. J. J.: Algemene Theorie der menslijke Houding en Beweging, pp. 54-58.
- 51. Perquin, N.: Pedagogiek, p. 69.
- 52. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 32.
- 53. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 83.
- 54. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 43.
- 55. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: Die leerwereld van die kind as beleweniswereld, p. 5.
- 56. Rienstra, Y.: op cit., pp. 73-76.
- 57. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., pp. 5, 10 & 11.
- 58. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., p. 5.

- 59. Rienstra, Y.: op cit., pp. 73-76.
- 60. Rienstra, Y.: op cit., p. 75.
- 61. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., pp. 73-84.
- 62. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., p. 78.
- 63. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., p. 79.
- 64. Ibid.
- 65. Ibid.
- 66. Van de Stoep, F.: op cit., p. 17.
- 67. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., pp. 25, 26, 34, 35.
- 68. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., p. 18.
- 69. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., p. 19.
- 70. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., p. 27.
- 71. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., p. 72.
- 72. Van der Stoep. F.: op cit., p. 74.
- 73. Van Hiele, P. M.: De Problematiek van het Inzicht, p. 11.
- 74. Langeveld, M. J.: Scholen maken Mensen, pp. 101-102.
- 75. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 101.
- 76. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 102.
- 77. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., pp. 94. 95, 108.
- 78. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., p. 95.
- 79. Vliegenthart, E. W.: Op gespannen Voet, p. 76.
- 80. Ibid.
- 81. Van Gelder, L.: Ontsporing en Correctie, p. 87.
- 82. Buytendijk, F. J. J.: Psychologie van de Huisarts.
- 83. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., Chapter 4.
- 84. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., pp. 101, 121, 128, 129.
- 85. Muller-Eckhard, H.: op cit., p. 162.
- 86. Muller-Eckhard, H.: op cit., p. 52,
- 87. Ibid.
- 88. Ibid.
- 89. Muller-Eckhard, H.: op cit., p. 50.
- 90. Ibid.
- 91. Muller-Eckhard, H.: op cit., p, 51.
- 92. Perquin, N.: op cit., p. 126.
- 93. Langeveld, M. J.: Ontwikkelingspsychologie, , p. 42.
- 94. Sonnekus, M. C. H.: op cit., p. 11.
- 95. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., p. 15.
- 96. Perquin, N.: op cit., p. 36.
- 97. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., p. 36.
- 98. Fournier, E. P., cited by Riestra, Y.: op cit., p. 103.
- 99. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., pp. 31 & 32.
- 100. Oberholzer, C. K.: op cit., pp. 329-325.
- 101. Langeveld, M. J.: Beknopte theoretische Pedagogiek, p. 46.
- 102. Ibid.
- 103. Perquin, N.: op cit., pp. 15, 178-183.
- 104. Perquin, N.: op cit., p. 35.
- 105. Nel, B. F.: op cit., p. 37.
- 106. Nel, B. F.: op cit., pp. 37 & 38.

- 107. Nel, B. F.: op cit.
- 108. Riestra, Y.: op cit., pp. 105-108.
- 109. Vedder, R.: op cit., p. 153.
- 110. Ibid.
- 111. Riestra, Y.: op cit., pp. 105 & 108.
- 112. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., p. 39.
- 113. Perquin, N.: op cit., pp. 93 & 94.
- 114. Perquin, N.: op cit., p. 210.
- 115. Ibid.
- 116. Kohnstamm, Ph., cited by Bigot, L. C. T.: Het Kind, pp. 12 & 13.
- 117. Bigot, L. C. T.: op cit., pp. 12 & 13.
- 118. Bigot, L. C. T.: op cit., p. 13.
- 119. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 62.
- 120. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 128.
- 121. Knoop, M.: Omgang met Kinderen, p. 55.
- 122. Frankl, V. E.: De onbewuste God, p. 25.
- 123. Frankl, V. E.: op cit., pp. 28-31.
- 124. Frankl, V. E.: op cit.
- 125. Dienelt, K.: Opvoeding tot Verantwoorelijkheid.
- 126. Dienelt, K,: op cit., pp. 15-18.
- 127. Dienelt, K,: op cit., pp. 18 & 44.
- 128. Dienelt, K.: op cit., p. 43.
- 129. Dienelt, K,: op cit., p. 45.
- Landman, W. A.: Enkele aksiologies-ontologiese momente in die voor-volwassenheidsbelewing – 'n Studie in die wysgerige antropologie, p. 56.
- 131. Van der Stoep, F.: op cit., pp. 53 & 54.
- 132. Ibid.
- 133. Van der Stoep. F.: op cit., p. 54.
- 134. Langeveld, M. J.: Beknopte theoretische Pedagogiek, p. 162.
- 135. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 92.
- 136. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 162.
- 137. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 163.
- 138. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 48.
- 139. Langeveld, M. J.: op cit., p. 49.
- 140. Perquin, N.: op cit., p. 41.
- 141, Perquin, N.: op cit., p. 61.